TOOL<sub>20</sub>

## Methodological tool

# Assessment of debundling for small-scale project activities

Version 04.1



TABLE OF CONTENTS Pa					
1.	INTRODUCTION				
	1.1.	Backgrou	ınd	3	
	1.2.	Objective	S	3	
2.	SCOPE, APPLICABILITY, AND ENTRY INTO FORCE				
	2.1.	Scope		3	
	2.2.	Applicabi	lity	3	
	2.3.	Entry into	force	3	
3.	NOR	MATIVE RE	FERENCES	3	
4.	DEFI	NITIONS		3	
5.	METHODOLOGY PROCEDURE				
	5.1.	Determin	ing the occurrence of debundling	4	
		5.1.1.	Type I project activities	4	
		5.1.2.	Transport project activities	4	
	5.2. Determining the occurrence of debundling for multiple CDM projects involving independent subsystem/measures		5		
	5.3.	Determining the occurrence of debundling under a programme of activities (PoA)			

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Background

1. The Board at its thirty-fifth meeting requested the secretariat to consolidate all guidance into one document, regarding the determination of the occurrence of debundling including Appendix C of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities, PoA debundling guidance, the guidance on mobile boundaries and debunding guidance for Type I methodologies (EB 30 paragraph 37) and in doing so to supplement this with a diagrammatic representation of the procedure.

#### 1.2. Objectives

2. This methodological tool provides a step-wise approach for the determination of the occurrence of debundling for small-scale project activities and small-scale component project activities (CPA).

## 2. Scope, applicability, and entry into force

#### 2.1. Scope

3. This methodological tool provides a step-wise approach for the determination of the occurrence of debundling for the proposed small-scale project activities and small-scale CPAs. These steps are summarized in figures 1 and 2.

#### 2.2. Applicability

4. This methodological tool is applicable to proposed small-scale project activities and small-scale CPAs in order to check whether they are debundled components of large-scale project activities.

#### 2.3. Entry into force

5. Immediately upon adoption of the methodological tool at the eighty-third meeting of the Board (16 April 2015).

#### 3. Normative references

6. This methodological tool refers to the following documents: Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories (contained in annex II to decision 21/CP.8, see document FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.3).

#### 4. Definitions

- 7. The definitions contained in the Glossary of CDM terms shall apply.
- 8. Debundling is defined as the fragmentation of a large project activity into smaller parts. A small-scale project activity that is part of a large project activity is not eligible to use the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities. The full project

activity or any component of the full project activity shall follow the regular CDM modalities and procedures.

### 5. Methodology procedure

#### 5.1. Determining the occurrence of debundling

- 9. A proposed small-scale project activity shall be deemed to be a debundled component of a large project activity if there is a registered small-scale CDM project activity or an application to register another small-scale CDM project activity:
  - (a) With the same project participants;
  - (b) In the same project category and technology/measure; and
  - (c) Registered within the previous 2 years; and
  - (d) Whose project boundary is within 1 km of the project boundary of the proposed small- scale activity at the closest point.
- 10. If a proposed small-scale project activity is deemed to be a debundled component in accordance with paragraph 2 above, but total size of such an activity combined with the previous registered small-scale CDM project activity does not exceed the limits for small-scale CDM project activities as set in paragraph 6 (c) of the decision 17/CP.7,¹ the project activity can qualify to use simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities.

#### 5.1.1. Type I project activities

- 11. In cases where a DOE has, in assessing the possibility that a small scale project is a debundled component of a large scale project activity, determined that two or more project activities are taking place within one kilometer of each other and with the same project participants:
  - (a) The DOE shall ensure that these projects are described in the PDD and that the validation report contains specific details on how it has been determined that the project activities are not a debundled component of a large scale project activity;
  - (b) The DOE shall consider the project activities to be a debundled component of a large scale project activity even in cases where they are taking place in different project categories, if the project activities are Type 1 project activities providing energy to the same user and are registered, or submitted for registration, with 2 years of each other.

#### 5.1.2. Transport project activities

12. A proposed small-scale transport sector project activity involving boundaries/sources that are mobile, shall be deemed to be a debundled component of a large project activity if there is a registered small-scale CDM project activity or an application to register another small-scale CDM project activity:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Limits have been revised as set in paragraph 28 of decision 1/CMP.2.

- (a) With the same project participants; and
- (b) In the same project category and technology/measure; and
- (c) Registered within the previous 2 years.
- 13. The above provision excludes the condition to check that the project boundary is within 1 km of the project boundary of the proposed small-scale activity at the closest point and is also applicable to the guidance for determining the occurrence of debundling under a programme of activities (PoA).

# 5.2. Determining the occurrence of debundling for multiple CDM projects involving independent subsystem/measures

14. If each of the independent subsystems/measures (e.g., biogas digesters, residential solar energy systems, kerosene or incandescent lighting replacements) included in one or more CDM project activities is no greater than 1% of the small scale thresholds defined by the applied methodology and the subsystems/measures are indicated in the PDDs to be each implemented at or in multiple locations (e.g., installed at or in multiple homes) then these CDM project activities are exempted from performing a de-bundling check, i.e., considered as being not a de-bundled component of a large scale activity.

## 5.3. Determining the occurrence of debundling under a programme of activities (PoA)

- 15. For the purposes of registration of a Programme of Activities (PoA),<sup>2</sup> a proposed small-scale CPA of a PoA shall be deemed to be a de-bundled component of a large scale activity if there is already an activity,<sup>3</sup> which satisfies both conditions (a) and (b) below:
  - (a) Has the same activity implementer as the proposed small scale CPA or has a coordinating or managing entity, which also manages a large scale PoA of the same technology/measure, and;
  - (b) The boundary is within 1 km of the boundary of the proposed small-scale CPA, at the closest point.
- 16. If a proposed small-scale CPA of a PoA is deemed to be a debundled component in accordance with paragraph 2 above, but the total size of such a CPA combined with a registered small-scale CPA of a PoA or a registered CDM project activity does not exceed the limits for small-scale CDM and small-scale A/R project activities as set out in Annex II of the decision 4/CMP.1<sup>4</sup> and 5/CMP.1 respectively, the CPA of a PoA can qualify to use simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM and small-scale A/R CDM project activities.
- 17. If each of the independent subsystems/measures (e.g., biogas digester, solar home system) included in the CPA of a PoA is no larger than 1% of the small-scale thresholds

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Only those POAs need to be considered in determining de-bundling that are: (i) in the same geographical area; and (ii) use the same methodology; as the POA to which proposed CPA is being added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Which may be a (i) registered small-scale CPA of a PoA, (ii) an application to register another small-scale CPA of a PoA or (iii) another registered CDM project activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Limits have been revised as set in paragraph 28 of decision 1/CMP.2.

TOOL20 Methodological tool: Assessment of debundling for small-scale project activities Version 04.1


defined by the methodology applied,<sup>5</sup> then that CPA of PoA is exempted from performing de-bundling check i.e., considering as not being a de-bundled component of a large scale activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> i.e., 150 kW installed capacity or 0.6 GWh annual energy savings or 0.6 ktCO2e annual emission reductions.

Start \* In the context of this diagram: - SSC PA stands for "a Small Scale CDM Project Activity" - A "registered SSC PA" also includes a SSC PA which applied for registration Is there a registered SSC PA with the same project participants as the Yes No proposed SSC PA? Is there a registered SSC PA in the Are the registered SSC PA project category and No No and proposed SSC PA, Type technology/measure the I activities providing energy to proposed SSC PA? the same user? (as per EB30, paragraph 37). Yes Is the boundary of the registered SSC Yes PA within 1 km of the boundary of the No No proposed SSC PA at the closest Are the registered SSC PA point? and the proposed SSC PA in transport sector involving Yes boundaries/sources that are Yes Is the registered SSC PA registered mobile? (as per EB 35, Nο within the previous 2 years? paragraph 58 & 59). Yes Yes Dο SSC PAs comprise of independent subsystems/measures =<1% of applicable SSC threshold implemented in multiple locations (see paragraph 7)? No Does the total size of the proposed Yes SSC PA combined with registered SSC PA exceed the limits for SSC PAs? No The proposed SSC PA is The proposed SSC PA is The proposed SSC PA is deemed to be a debundled deemed to be a debundled not deemed to be a component of a large project component of a large project debundled component of a activity and is not eligible to activity but can qualify to use large project activity, therefore is eligible to use use the simplified modalities simplified modalities and and procedures for SSC procedures for SSC PAs. the simplified modalities and PAs. procedures for SSC PAs.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the step-wise approach for determining the occurrence of debundling

Figure 2. Flowchart of the step-wise approach for determining the occurrence of debundling under a programme of activities (PoA)



#### **Document information**

Version	Date	Description
04.1	24 March 2023	Editorial revisions to correct the numbers in footnote 5.
04.0	16 April 2015	EB 83, Annex 13 Revision to reclassify this document from a guideline to a tool.
03.0	28 May 2010	EB 54, Annex 13  To provide an exempt from the debundling check for project activities comprising of small independent subsystem/measures no greater than 1% of applicable SSC threshold implemented in multiple locations.
02.0	28 May 2009	EB 47, Annex 32  The revision to introduce the clarification of the Board at its forty-seventh meeting that provides derogations from the debundling for provisions for POAs involving "micro-scale" applications, i.e. where the implemented units are 1% of the small-scale thresholds.
01.0	30 November 2007	EB 36, Annex 27 Initial adoption.

Decision Class: Regulatory
Document Type: Tool
Business Function: Methodology
Keywords: debundling, programme of activities, SSC project activities, transport, type (i) projects