

CDM-AP87

Meeting report

CDM Accreditation Panel eighty-seventh meeting

Version 01.0

Date of meeting: 1 to 4 September 2020

Place of meeting: Virtual



United Nations
Framework Convention on
Climate Change

TABLE OF CONTENTS		Page
AGENDA ITEM 1.	AGENDA AND MEETING ORGANIZATION.....	3
Agenda item 1.1.	Opening	3
Agenda item 1.2.	Adoption of the agenda	3
AGENDA ITEM 2.	GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT MATTERS	3
Agenda item 2.1.	Performance management.....	3
Agenda item 2.2.	Matters related to the panel	3
AGENDA ITEM 3.	RULINGS (CASE-SPECIFIC MATTERS).....	4
AGENDA ITEM 4.	RELATIONS WITH FORUMS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	4
AGENDA ITEM 5.	OTHER MATTERS	4
AGENDA ITEM 6.	CONCLUSION OF THE MEETING	5

Agenda item 1. Agenda and meeting organization

Agenda item 1.1. Opening

1. The Vice-Chair of the Clean Development Mechanism Accreditation Panel (hereinafter referred to as the CDM-AP), Mr. Piotr Dombrowicki, opened the virtual meeting.
2. The table below represents the attendance of members at the virtual meeting, which was held from 1 to 4 September 2020. The names in bold print represent attendance at the meeting.

Table. Attendance

Chair and Vice-Chair	Members
Mr. Amjad Abdulla (Chair)	Ms. Anastasia Northland
Mr. Piotr Dombrowicki (Vice-Chair)	Mr. Anil Jauhri
	Mr. Martin Enderlin
	Mr. Ricardo Esparta
	Ms. Veronica García de Solorzano

Agenda item 1.2. Adoption of the agenda

3. The CDM-AP adopted the agenda of the meeting.
4. The CDM-AP considered information provided by members, the Chair and the Vice-Chair with respect to any potential conflict of interest.

Agenda item 2. Governance and management matters

Agenda item 2.1. Performance management

5. The CDM-AP took note of a report on achievements made against the assessment plan for 2020 and provided guidance on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the launching of assessments.
6. The CDM-AP took note of a report on delays of more than seven days in ongoing assessments.
7. The CDM-AP considered the outcome of the performance monitoring of experts on the CDM accreditation roster of experts (CDM ARoE) and agreed on appropriate actions.
8. The CDM-AP appointed two of its members as CDM assessment team evaluators.

Agenda item 2.2. Matters related to the panel

9. The CDM-AP took note of the outcomes of the 106th meeting of the CDM Executive Board (hereinafter referred to as the Board) and two electronic decisions that are relevant to the work of the CDM-AP.

Agenda item 3. Rulings (case-specific matters)

10. The CDM-AP considered two regular surveillance cases. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
11. The CDM-AP considered six performance assessments. The CDM-AP's notifications on these cases will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
12. The CDM-AP considered one request for extension of accreditation for additional sectoral scopes. The CDM-AP's recommendation on this case will be submitted to the Board in confidence.
13. The CDM-AP considered eight notifications of change.

Agenda item 4. Relations with forums and other stakeholders

14. The CDM-AP interacted with the Designated Operational Entity (DOE)/Accredited Independent Entity (AIE) Coordination Forum, represented by its Chair Mr. Werner Betzenbichler, in accordance with the "Procedure: Direct communication with stakeholders" (ver. 02.0) and the 2020 CDM-AP workplan. The input was related to requests for review for submitted requests for a renewal of the crediting period of project activities, with the crediting period starting after the end of the second commitment period on 31 December 2020. The DOE/AIE Coordination Forum requested the CDM-AP to clarify whether this issue will receive a weighting of '0' (see appendix I of the "Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities"). The DOE/AIE Coordination Forum also highlighted their concern regarding the continuation of DOE business after the end of the second commitment period.
15. The CDM-AP considered the input in paragraph 14 and clarified that the weighting of the non-compliance issues will be carried out in accordance with the "Procedure: Performance monitoring of designated operational entities". The CDM-AP further acknowledged the concern raised by the DOE/AIE Coordination Forum regarding the continuation of DOE business in 2021 and beyond and requested the Board to acknowledge the concern and provide clarity at the earliest possible time.
16. The CDM-AP interacted with a group of nine lead assessors from the CDM ARoE. The purpose of the interaction was to facilitate an exchange of views and experience on the implementation of the CDM accreditation requirements and, specifically for this session, to consult on requests for clarifications on the "CDM accreditation standard" (version 07.0) and the "CDM accreditation procedure" (version 15.0). The CDM-AP considered the queries presented by the lead assessors and provided input for the further elaboration of responses. The CDM-AP expressed its gratitude for the hard work done by the lead assessors and stated that it looks forward to such productive interactions in the future.

Agenda item 5. Other matters

17. The CDM-AP considered two other matters.

Agenda item 6. Conclusion of the meeting

18. The CDM-AP approved the report of its 87th meeting.
19. The CDM-AP Chair closed the meeting.

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
01.0	7 September 2020	CDM AP 87 meeting report Initial publication
Decision Class: Operational Document Type: Meeting report Business Function: Governance Keywords: CDM-AP, reporting procedures		