Negotiations on the Paris Agreement Work Programme (PAWP):

from the Bangkok session to Katowice
This presentation will address…

- Reminder: PAWP at a glance
- Outcomes of Bangkok: overall
- Outcomes of Bangkok: highlights by negotiating body
- What comes next…
Some items in SBI are linked to:
- Work programme (Art.4.12)
- Scope/modalities for technology mechanism assessment (Art.4.10)
- NDC registry (Art.4.12)
- Adaptation registry (Art.7.12)
- Common timeframes (Art.4.10)

Some items in SBSTA are linked to:
- Enhancing education, training, public awareness, participation and access to information (Art.12) – agreed in May 2018
- Identification of the information to be provided by Parties in accordance with Art.9.5
- Response measures
- Report of the Adaptation Committee / LDC matters

Dependencies across bodies:
- interlinkages... coherence... balance... timing...

Inputs from constituted bodies:
- Adaptation committee (AC)
- Least Developed Countries Expert Group (LEG)
- Standing Committee on Finance (SCF)
- Executive Committee of the Warsaw International Mechanism for Loss and Damage (WIM ExCom)

- COP:
  - Institutional arrangements on capacity-building (PCCB)

- Further guidance for mitigation section of 1/CP.21
- Adaptation comms
- Transparency
- Global stocktake
- Implementation / compliance
- Adaptation Fund
- Possible additional matters
The Bangkok session was an additional, extraordinary session on PAWP issues only.

The objective was to bring all PAWP issues to a level of maturity that would be adequate for a basis for negotiation and that would make it possible to complete the PAWP in Katowice with a tangible, ambitious outcome allowing full operationalization of the Paris Agreement.

Parties worked hard in Bangkok (no statements!) But was the objective achieved? Yes/No:

- Almost all texts have advanced (~300 pages!); readiness for Katowice has increased
- But none of the texts has been finalized and fully agreed
- For some (few) items progress was limited reflecting broader political challenges
Follow-up work mandated in Bangkok:

- A joint reflections note by the Presiding Officers of the APA, SBSTA and SBI
- The note can contain “textual proposals” to help moving the negotiations forward
- The note to be published by mid-October, before the major “pre-COP” meeting
- This will help – and success in Katowice is feasible; but much remains to be done…
SBI highlights:

- Overall good progress, but fundamental political issues remained unresolved, e.g. one registry or two registries

Agreed basis for negotiations, and in most cases this basis reflects clear and streamlined options

- On the scope/modalities for technology mechanism assessment: draft decision text contains clear and streamlined options, Parties are engaged in exploring middle grounds

- Mandate for the SBI Chair to prepare textual proposals
Joint SBI/SBSTA highlights:

- Good progress, Party-owned outcome
- Some key political and/or complex issues remain, e.g. trade under response measures and recognition of adaptation efforts
- Draft decision texts and annex, in the case of response measures, with clear and streamlined options. Parties are exploring middle grounds
- Mandate for the SBI and SBSTA Chairs to prepare textual proposals
SBSTA highlights:

- Overall very good progress, Party-owned outcome, agreed basis for negotiations …but still lots to do …

- On Art.6: excellent session with constructive groups, everyone worked hard to advance

- On Art.9.7: most advanced finance issue with related placeholder reflected in APA text

- On Art.10.4: very productive session for technology negotiators

- Mandate for the SBSTA Chair to prepare textual proposals
APA highlights:

- Overall: good progress
- Texts are clearer and closer to decision-type language
- Still too many options – not conducive to final decision-making in Katowice
- Guidance on mitigation did not produce a new/revised text in Bangkok – needs attention!
- The APA Co-Chairs have a mandate to develop “textual proposals” to help Parties – this is an important mandate
From Bangkok to Katowice

Building on the Bangkok outcome, the Presiding Officers will prepare a joint reflections note identifying ways forward, including textual proposals before the pre-COP (end of October)

The pre-COP (21–24 October) is of particular importance; it is the last and most important opportunity to explore before the COP, with key negotiators at a senior level and in an appropriate setting what needs to be addressed, and, most importantly, how – for both PAWP and non-PAWP issues (balance is of major importance)

The negotiations time at COP-24 will be very limited; it will be critical to work diligently and with a focus on delivery. PAWP is doable!