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Core business – Cement and concrete

- Established in 1936
- 17 plants located all over the country
- Product includes
  - Cement - OPC, blended cements
  - Ready mix Concrete
- Total Capacity ~ 30 MTPA
- Part of Holcim group
# Projects of ACC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Ref No</th>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Registered on</th>
<th>No of Issuance</th>
<th>CERs issued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0287</td>
<td>Blended Cement Project at New Wadi, Chanda, Kymore &amp; Tikaria</td>
<td>21 May’ 06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1054697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2679</td>
<td>9 MW Wind Mill project at Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>3 Sep’ 09</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>61037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6700</td>
<td>7.5 MW Wind Mill project at Rajasthan</td>
<td>16 Jul’ 12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PP’s perspective on future mechanism

Procedure

- Clear methodology for setting the baseline
  - Could be global/country average
  - Should not be the regional average

- Additionality to be simplified/avoided

- Simple methodology which enables to take benefit out of multiple CO$_2$ reduction actions of a particular site in a single PDD which will avoid multiple project registration/verification/expenses associated with it

- Simplified procedures for PDD development – PP should be able to develop necessary documents by itself (Like online questionnaire etc)
PP’s perspective on future mechanism

- Entire project cycle like registration, verification and issuance to be simplified; cycle time should not exceed more than a month for each activity.

- Project’s scope of work modification procedure after the registration to be simplified.

- Approval of waste heat recovery power generation in cement plant as CDM project as it involves low temperature heat recovery. Capex cost is highest (2 million USD/MW) and pay back is very long (6-8 years).

- Since there are limited number of DOE with limited resources, to avoid delay in registration and verification the same DOE may be permitted irrespective of size of the project.
PP’s perspective on future mechanism

CER Price

- Fee for the issuance and registration to be avoided to minimize the PP’s expenses as the market price of CERs is at lowest

- Stable market value for CERs – Minimum floor price to be offered for CERs in line with the similar mechanism working in different countries like REC mechanism in India

- The issued CERs should be released to the PP without any fees on all existing project

- Governments/UNFCCC jointly create a Carbon Fund to purchase surplus CERs in the market at floor price
PP’s perspective on future mechanism

Government

- Role of DNA can be enhanced in entire project life cycle especially in the verification and issuance phase

- DNA team to be strengthened so that the host country approvals and enhanced role in the project life cycle can be implemented effectively

- Promotion of bilateral mechanism – Interlinking of bilateral Carbon Credits and CERs with UNFCCC

- More participation by countries at global level

- Specific CO₂ intensity reduction targets to Annex –I countries instead of absolute emissions for different sectors which will create demand for CERs (due to global recession from 2008, most obligated countries met the target)
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