|  |
| --- |
| **FINAL ASSESSMENT REPORT****(Version 04.0)** |
| **Entity name and address of site(s) assessed** |  |
| **UNFCCC ref no.:** |  |
| **Type of Assessment(Tick option(s) as appropriate)** | [ ] Onsite Assessment ([ ]  Central site; [ ]  Non-central site):[ ]  Initial[ ]  Surveillance[ ]  Reaccreditation[ ]  Spot-check[ ]  Extension of sectoral scopes[ ]  Verification of corrective actions[ ]  Specific assessment requested by CDM-AP/CDM-EB[ ]  Performance Assessment[ ]  Validation[ ]  Verification*Please specify name of the project and UNFCCC project number:* |
| **Version number of accreditation standard** |  |
| **Sectoral scope(s) assessed** | [ ]  1. Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)[ ]  2. Energy distribution[ ]  3. Energy demand[ ]  4. Manufacturing industries[ ]  5. Chemical industry[ ]  6. Construction[ ]  7. Transport[ ]  8. Mining/Mineral production[ ]  9. Metal production[ ]  10. Fugitive emissions from fuels (solid, oil and gas)[ ]  11. Fugitive emissions from production and consumption of halocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride[ ]  12. Solvents use[ ]  13. Waste handling and disposal[ ]  14. Afforestation and reforestation[ ]  15. Agriculture |
| **CDM-AT Team Leader** |  | CDM-AT Team Members involved in the assessment activity |  |
| **Start date of the assessment**  |  | On-site assessment dates *(if applicable)* |  |
| **Status of assessment for preparing final report** | [ ]  Final clearance[ ]  Proposed corrective actions not accepted[ ]  Implemented corrective actions not accepted[ ]  Post CDM-AP decision[ ]  Any other status, please specify: |
| **SUMMARY***For Onsite Assessment :* *CDM-AT to provide brief summary of the assessment process indicating the dates of desk review (if applicable) and the assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the AE/DOE according to the sections of the accreditation standard and/or to any specific topic requested by the Assessment Workplan.**Section III: Legal issues**Section IV: Human resources and competence* *Section V: Liability and finance**Section VI: Process requirements**Section VII: Information Management**Section VIII: AE’s/DOE’s Organization structure**Section IX: Quality management system**Section X: Handling complaints disputes and appeals**Section XI: Pending judicial processes**Section XII: Safeguarding impartiality**Section XIII: Confidentiality management**Any other comments:**For Performance assessment:**CDM-AT to provide brief summary of the assessment process indicating the dates of desk review and site visit (as applicable) and the assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the AE/DOE according to the sections of the accreditation standard and/or to any specific topic requested by the Assessment Workplan.**Section IV: Competence of the team and team members* *Section VI: (a) Process requirements (The level of compliance of the DOE to their procedures and M&P requirements.)**(b) Did the team of DOE made adequate in-depth analysis (Describe with examples.)**(c) Did the team of DOE arrived at the right conclusions (Describe with examples.)* *(d) Was the internal review process adequate and was able to bring out all the shortcoming of the draft validation/verification reports.**Section VII: Information Management (Were the required documents made public in accordance with the requirements and procedures of the DOE.)**Section XII: Safeguarding impartiality (List the checks made by the DOE related to its impartial functioning and were these checks adequate.)**Section IX: Quality management system (Did the DOE perform the validation and verification in accordance with their procedures?)**Section XIII: Confidentiality management (Describe how the confidentiality requirements were managed by the DOE.)**Any other comments:* |
| **List of documents prepared in relation to this assessment (e.g. work plan, desk review report, on-site assessment report, non-conformity forms)**: |
| **Signature by CDM-AT Team Leader** | **Date:** |
| **COMMENTS BY AE/DOE** |
| **Signature of Representative of AE/DOE** | **Date :** |
| **Description on how comments by entity have been addressed, refer to non-conformity forms as applicable:** |
| **Name of CDM-AT Team Leader:** |  |
| **CONCLUSIONS REGARDING ACCREDITATION ASSESSMENT FOR CONSIDERATION BY CDM-AP****For initial accreditation and reaccreditation & extension of sectoral scopes**List of sectoral scope that are applied for accreditation or accredited : *e.g 1 to 3, 5, 7 to 9, 13, 14 & 15***For initial accreditation, surveillance (including multisite) and reaccreditation**[ ]  All NCs raised have been closed. The management system of AE/DOE complies to the accreditation requirements. [ ]  .…*X*. NCs out of …*X..* NCs raised are still open. **For performance assessment (Validation/verification)**[ ]  All NCs raised have been closed. The validation/verification process complies with the accreditation requirements. Outcome of the performance assessment is positive. [ ]  All NCs raised have been closed. Significant deficiency in the validation/verification process observed as reflected in NC nos. ……………….. Outcome of the performance assessment is negative.[ ]  ..,*X*,,NCs out of …*X*.. NCs raised are still open.**Additional comments :** *This area to be used for other comments that may further substantiate the above conclusion. This area also to be used for special assessment as spot-check or for proposed/implemented corrective actions not accepted second time.* |
| **CONCLUSIONS REGARDING ACCREDITATION FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE CDM-AP****for other assessments (Case specific)***(To be used only for special assessments or when the case is being presented to CDM-AP at the stage of proposed corrective actions not accepted in the second attempt).* |
| **Signature by CDM-AT Team Leader** | **Date:** |
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Document information

| Version | Date | Description |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |
| 04.0 | 25 February 2013 | The section “Conclusion regarding………..CDM-AP” has been elaborated with prefilled statements. Version no. of accreditation standard added. |
| 03.1 | 20 April 2012 | Editorial changes to include new logo and other improvements. |
| 03 | 20 January 2011 | Type of assessments and status of assessments inserted based on the Accreditation Procedure (EB 56, Annex 02, version 10.1) and editorial review. |
| 02 | 15 July 2009 | The new columns inserted to include scope(s) assessed, summary of on-site assessment/performance assessment, administration of assessment, and comments by AE/DOE. |
| 01 | 2 August 2002 | EB 05. Initial document.  |
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