CDM-PA5984-INFO01

Final ruling note on request for registration for "Linjiang Erqi MSW Incineration for Power Project"

Version 01.0



United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

- 1. The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the above proposed project activity on 23 October 2012, during its 70th meeting, in accordance with "Procedures for review of requests for registration", version 1.2, EB 55, Annex 40, paragraphs 20, and 28 (the procedures). In accordance with paragraph 27 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain an explanation of the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:
 - (a) The DOE (JCI) failed to validate the start date of the project activity in line with version 1.2 of the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM), paragraph 99.
 - (b) Paragraph 99 of the VVM states that "The DOE shall confirm that the start date of the project activity, reported in the PDD, is in accordance with the "Glossary of CDM terms". In turn, version 6 of the Glossary of CDM terms states that the start date is "the earliest date at which either the implementation or construction or real action of a CDM project activity or PoA begins".
 - The DOE has failed to validate why the signature of the Build Operate and (C) Transfer agreement between the Project Participant and the Wenzhou Municipal Bureau of Parks (21/01/2009) was not considered as the project start date. considering that a BOT agreement would typically require the PP's commitment to construct the waste treatment plant. The DOE has validated that the BOT agreement stipulates the rights/responsibilities/duties of the two parties, and some basic conditions such as the tipping fee and the tariff to be applied to the project activity, and does not define expenditure commitment by the project participant and therefore considers that it doesn't fulfil the definition of project activity starting date. However, the precise nature of the commitments and obligations subscribed by the PP as part of the BOT agreement, such as e.g. commitments related with construction implementation, assignment of expenditure obligations, timeframe for implementing operation of the plant, apportioning of potential CDM revenues, inclusion of penalty clauses for not complying with the contract, etc., has not been adequately assessed and validated by the DOE. Thus, it remains unclear why this agreement is not considered as the date of "implementation or construction or real action". Moreover, if the BOT signature date (January 2009) is set as the start date, the notification to the DNA and UNFCCC (June 2010) would fail to comply with the 6 months period mandated by the guidelines on the demonstration and assessment of prior consideration (EB62 Annex 13).
- 2. Please note, however, that, with appropriate revisions, this project activity may be resubmitted for validation and registration provided it meets the requirements for validation and registration, in accordance with paragraph 42 of the CDM Modalities and Procedures (Decision 3/CMP.1).

- - - - -

Document information

Version	Date	Description
01.0	17 December 2012	Project 5984 Related to EB 70 Meeting Report, Paragraph 37
Decision Class: Ruling Document Type: Ruling note Business Function: Registration Keywords: rejected project activity		