



## Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs of “Ningxia Federal Solar Cooker Project” (2924)

The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (CERs) for the above project activity on 20 July 2012, during its 68<sup>th</sup> meeting, for the monitoring period 12 February 2010 - 31 October 2010, in accordance with of the “*Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs*”, version 02.0, EB 64 Annex 4, paragraphs 20 and 29 (the procedures). According to paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:

- The DOE (TÜV Rheinland) has failed to verify the parameters “Solar cookers engaged in the proposed project” and “Accumulated operating hours” in accordance with paragraph 24 of “*Standard for Sampling and Survey for CDM project activities and programme of activities*” and paragraph 180 of VVM version 1.2.
- Paragraph 24 of “*Standard for Sampling and Survey for CDM project activities and programme of activities*”, specifies that “in order to determine the size of the sample for field/onsite check, the DOE shall specify in advance, using own professional judgment: (i) Acceptable quality level or the Level of Assurance, i.e. the proportion of discrepancies between the PPs record and DOE record that are acceptable, e.g. 1%; (ii) the proportion of discrepancies between the PPs record and DOE record that are unacceptable, e.g. 10%”. Paragraph 180 of VVM version 1.2, states that “This (verification) assessment shall involve a review of relevant documentation as well as on-site visit(s) in accordance with paragraphs 59-62”.
- As the DOE carried out onsite verification of only 6 solar cookers instead of verifying the total sample size ( $n = 68$ ) determined by the DOE, and only conducted telephone interviews for the rest of samples without sufficient justifications, it cannot be considered that the requirements described above are met. Therefore the issuance request could not be approved.

Please note, however, that, with paragraph 96 of the Report of the 28<sup>th</sup> EB Meeting, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

---

### History of the document

<b>Project</b> 2924	Related to EB 68 Meeting Report Paragraph 76 20 July 2012	<b>Decision Class:</b> Ruling <b>Document Type:</b> Ruling Note <b>Business Function:</b> Issuance
------------------------	--	--