#### **UNFCCC/CCNUCC**





### Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs of

#### "Fuel Substitution by Hydro Generation in Pasto Bueno" (1986)

The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (CERs) for the above project activity on 25 November 2011, for the monitoring period 25 November 2008 - 30 September 2010, in accordance with the "Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs", version 1.3, EB 55 Annex 41, paragraphs 20, and 29 ("the procedures"). According to paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:

- 1. The DOE (SQS) has failed to submit a notification of changes from the project activity, considering that the project was not implemented in accordance with the description in the PDD. The PDD states that the project will install 2 Pelton turbines with a capacity of 400 kw (total capacity 800 kW) whereas in reality two turbines of 450 kW and 150 kW each were installed. Although the DOE identified the changes and considered them minor it still did not submit a notification on the identified changes, as required by Paragraph 197 of the VVM (version 1.2) and paragraph 2 of "Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD" (EB48 Annex 66).
- 2. The DOE has failed to demonstrate that the project activity has complied with the applicable requirements for operating and monitoring the project activity. It appears that the users are connected to the grid in conjunction with the implementation of the project activity whereas the methodology (version 12 of AMS.I-A) is applicable only to households and users that do not have a grid connection. In its response to the request for review, the DOE has not addressed the issue.
- 3. The DOE has failed to demonstrate how it has addressed the delayed calibration appropriately. There was a delayed calibration from 19 March 2010 to 30 September 2010 for the meters but the DOE neither applied the maximum permissible error of the meters in a conservative manner nor submitted a request for revision of monitoring plan prior to finalizing its verification in line with EB52 Annex 60 and as required by paragraph 184 of the VVM (version 1.2)

Please note, however, that, in accordance with paragraph 33 of EB64 Annex 4, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. Such a request for permission shall be submitted within 60 days of publication of the final ruling on the rejected request for issuance. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.



## UNFCCC/CCNUCC



- - - -

# History of the document

Project	Related to EB 55	Decision Class: Ruling
1986	Annex 41	<b>Document Type:</b> Information Note
	Paragraphs 20, 28, 29	Business Function: Issuance
	25 November 2011	