



Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs of

“CUIDEMOS Mexico (Campana De Uso Inteligente De Energia Mexico) - Smart Use of Energy Mexico: CPA-2535-0001 CUIDEMOS Mexico (Campana De Uso Inteligente De Energia Mexico) - Puebla” (PoA 2535)

The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (“CERs”) for the above programme of activity on 29th November 2011, for the monitoring period 01 December 2009 to 30 November 2010 in accordance with “*Procedures for review of requests for issuance*”, version 1.3, EB 55, Annex 41, paragraphs 20 and 29 (the procedures). In accordance with paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:

- The DOE (SGS) has failed to verify that the monitoring of the average operation hour of CFLs is in accordance with version 9 of methodology AMS-II.C. (the methodology) as per paragraph 205 (b) of version 1.2. of the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM). The methodology, through General guideline for sampling and survey for small-scale CDM project activities, requires 90/10 confidence/precision criteria for reliability of sampling efforts.
- Paragraph 205 (b) of the VVM states that “*All parameters stated in the monitoring plan, the applied methodology and relevant CDM Executive Board decisions have been sufficiently monitored and updated as applicable, including: (i) Project emission parameters; (ii) Baseline emission parameters; (iii) Leakage parameters; (iv) Management and operational system: the responsibilities and authorities for monitoring and reporting are in accordance with the responsibilities and authorities stated in the monitoring plan.*”
- The average operation hour of CFLs has been estimated by sampling. Since there is no specific guidance in the applied methodology ver. 9 of AMS-II.C. , 90/10 confidence/precision criteria shall be applied as per the General guideline for sampling and survey for small-scale CDM project activities. However, the result shows that the precision of the average operation hour of CFLs is greater than 10, i.e., 90/10 confidence/ precision criteria has not been met. Therefore, The DOE has failed to comply with the paragraph 205 (b) of the VVM since the monitored average operation hour of CFLs does not meet 90/10 confidence/precision criteria.

In accordance with paragraph 96 of the Report of the 28th EB Meeting, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.



History of the document

Project PoA 2535	Related to EB 55 Annex 41 Paragraphs 20, 28 & 29 29 November 2011	Decision Class: Ruling Document Type: Information Note Business Function: Issuance
----------------------------	--	---