



## Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs of

### “Bundled wind power project in Chitradurga (Karnataka in India) managed by Enercon (India) Ltd” (0276)

The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (CERs) for the above project activity on 29 September 2011, for the monitoring period 01 July 2007 - 31 December 2009, in accordance with of the “*Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs*”, version 1.3, EB 55 Annex 41, paragraphs 23 and 29 (the procedures). According to paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:

- The DOE (BVCH) has failed to submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered Project Design Document (PDD) in accordance with paragraph 197 of version 1.2 of the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM) prior to the conclusion of the verification/certification activity
- Paragraph 197 of the VVM states that “*If the DOE identifies that the implementation or operation of CDM project activity does not conform with the description contained in the registered PDD, the DOE shall conduct an assessment on the potential impacts due to these changes following the relevant guidelines established by the CDM Executive Board and based on this assessment, the DOE shall submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD prior to the conclusion of the verification/certification for the corresponding monitoring period*”.
- The DOE has failed to meet the above requirement by not submitting a notification or a request for approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD prior to the conclusion of the verification/certification activity. In particular, the plant load factor (PLF) was consistently above the higher range of the PLF sensitivity analysis that was presented in the PDD during the first three monitoring periods (of over 7 years) of the 10-year crediting period. As this is considered a permanent change in the operation of the project activity compared to the description in the registered PDD, the issuance request could not be approved.

Please note, however, that, with paragraph 96 of the Report of the 28<sup>th</sup> EB Meeting, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

- - - - -

### History of the document

|                        |   |   |
|------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Project</b><br>0276 | Related to EB 63<br>Paragraph 63<br>29 September 2011 | <b>Decision Class:</b> Ruling<br><b>Document Type:</b> Information Note<br><b>Business Function:</b> Issuance |
|------------------------|---|---|