



page 1

## Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs of

## "Anshan Iron and Steel Group Corporation (Anshan) Blast Furnace Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant Project" (1609)

The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (CERs) for the above project activity on 28 September 2011, for the monitoring period 01 March 2010 - 30 September 2010, in accordance with of the "Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs", version 1.3, EB 55 Annex 41, paragraphs 20 and 29 (the procedures). According to paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:

- The DOE has failed to demonstrate that the monitoring plan complies with version 2 of methodology ACM0004 (the methodology) in accordance with paragraphs 200 and 203 of version 1.2 of the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM). The methodology requires continuous measurement of fossil fuel consumption whereas the approved monitoring plan does not contain the monitoring requirement of the coal consumed to generate the steam used during the start up of the project activity.
- Paragraph 200 of the VVM states that "the DOE shall verify that the validated monitoring plan is in accordance with the approved methodology applied by the proposed CDM project activity". Further paragraph 203 requires that the DOE shall provide a statement that the monitoring plan is in accordance with the approved methodology applied by the proposed CDM project activity or that the necessary revision to the monitoring plan or deviation prior to submitting the request for issuance has been sought and approved by the CDM Executive Board..
- The DOE has failed to comply with the paragraphs 200 and 203 of the VVM since the registered monitoring plan is not in accordance with the applied methodology and the DOE did not submit a request for a revision of the monitoring plan or a deviation in order to align the monitoring plan to the applicable methodology prior to the conclusion of the verification.

Please note, however, that, with paragraph 96 of the Report of the 28<sup>th</sup> EB Meeting, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

- - - - -



## UNFCCC/CCNUCC



page 2

Project	Related to EB 55	Decision Class: Ruling
1609	Annex 41	<b>Document Type:</b> Information Note
	Paragraphs 20, 28 & 29	Business Function: Issuance