



Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs of

“Trojes Hydropower project” (0649)

The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions (CERs) for the above project activity on 09 September 2011, for the monitoring period 01 October 2007 - 31 March 2009, in accordance with of the “*Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs*”, version 1.3, EB 55 Annex 41, paragraphs 23 and 29 (“the procedures”). According to paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:

- The DOE has failed to demonstrate that the implementation of the project activity conforms with the description in the registered project design document (PDD) and in its verification it failed to comply with the requirements of paragraph 197 of the validation and verification manual, version 1.2 (VVM).
- Paragraph 197 of the VVM requires that “*If the DOE identifies that the implementation or operation of CDM project activity does not conform with the description contained in the registered PDD, the DOE shall conduct an assessment on the potential impacts due to these changes following the relevant guidelines established by the CDM Executive Board and based on this assessment, the DOE shall submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD prior to the conclusion of the verification/certification for the corresponding monitoring period.*”
- Page 3 of the PDD states that the project activity will have a nominal capacity of 8.0 MW. However, page 37 of the Verification Report states that “According to the technical specification of the generators, the installed capacity is higher than the 8 MW stated in the PDD (...)” and on the same page, “the assessment team could notify a slightly difference in the installed capacity (8 MW versus 8.3 MW)”. The DOE has failed to comply with the requirement of paragraph 197 of the VVM since during the verification, the DOE identified that the project's nominal capacity was above the capacity stated in the PDD and yet did not submit a notification or a request for approval of changes prior to the conclusion of the verification / certification as required by the VVM.

Please note, however, that, with paragraph 96 of the Report of the 28th EB Meeting, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

- - - - -

History of the document

Project 0649	Related to EB 55 Annex 41 Paragraphs 20, 28 & 29	Decision Class: Ruling Document Type: Information Note Business Function: Issuance
------------------------	--	---