



page 1

## Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs of

## "Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Project AIN07-W-04, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia" (2130)

The CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance of certified emission reductions ("CERs") for the above project activity on 15<sup>th</sup> April 2011, for the monitoring period 16 January 2009 to 28 February 2010 in accordance with "*Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERS*", version 1.3, EB 55, Annex 41, paragraphs 23 and 29 (the procedures). In accordance with paragraph 28 of the procedures, the rulings shall contain the reasons and rationale for the final decision, which are as follows:

- The sampling for the third party analyses of the chemical oxygen demand of the wastewater entering the anaerobic treatment system has been done internally. The internal sampling measurements have not been conducted for the month of January and February 2010.
- The monitoring plan requires "the chemical oxygen demand of the wastewater entering the anaerobic treatment system" (CODy,ww,untreated) to be monitored semi-annually by a third party (sampling and analysis). The monitoring plan also requires internal sampling for COD measurement on a monthly basis to ensure proper operation of the digester. In addition, VVM version 1.2 paragraph 210 specifies that if the project participants have deviated from the provisions of the registered monitoring plan, the DOE shall submit a request for deviation prior to submitting request for issuance.
- The monitoring of the COD was not in accordance with the monitoring plan during the monitoring period and the DOE failed to request a deviation prior to submitting request for issuance as per EB49 Annex 26.

Please note, however, that, with paragraph 96 of the Report of the 28<sup>th</sup> EB Meeting, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

## History of the document

Project	Related to EB 60	Decision Class: Ruling
2130	Paragraph 99 (b)	Document Type: Information Note
	15 April 2011	Business Function: Issuance