

Final Ruling Regarding the Request for Issuance of CERs

“Rialma Companhia Energética I S/A. - Santa Edwiges I Small Hydro Power Plant - Small Scale CDM Project” (0830)

In line with “*Procedures for review of requests for issuance of CERs*”, version 1.3, EB 55 Annex 41, paragraph 24, the CDM-Executive Board decided to reject the request for issuance for the above project activity on 26th November 2010, for the monitoring period 01/01/2009 - 31/12/2009.

In accordance with paragraphs 27 and 28 of the above mentioned procedures, the CDM-Executive Board concluded that it could not issue the request for issuance for the proposed project activity because:

- The monitoring of electricity supplied to the grid from the project activity was not conducted in accordance with the monitoring plan and the DOE did not request a revision of the monitoring plan prior to submitting the request for issuance

The request for issuance is rejected because the the monitoring was not conducted in accordance with the monitoring plan, which specifies that the electricity delivered to the grid by the project activity shall be directly monitored at the grid connection point.

Whereas, it

was calculated based on the electricity generated in the project activity and other hydro plants (Santa Edwiges II and III) and the total electricity delivered to the grid by all hydro plants (Santa Edwiges I, II and III). Further, the DOE did not request a revision of the monitoring plan prior to submitting request for issuance . This is not in compliance with the requirements of paragraph 215 of the VVM version 01.1, EB 51, Annex 27, which states that: “If the monitoring plan is not in accordance with the monitoring methodology applied to the registered CDM project activity and/or does not reflect the actual monitoring activity based on the registered PDD, the DOE shall submit a request for revision of the monitoring plan as well as a request for revision of monitoring plan form via a dedicated interface on the UNFCCC CDM website prior to requesting issuance of CERs”. The DOE failed to verify the monitoring was in accordance with the registered monitoring plan.

Please note, in accordance with paragraph 96 of the Report of the 28th EB Meeting, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report based on a revised monitoring report, the DOE may request permission (including explanation of reasons) to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection. The Board will consider such a request at the subsequent EB meeting following that request in accordance with the procedures and decide on a case-by-case basis. In these cases the Board will provide further guidance, as appropriate. In cases where such a revised request for issuance is also rejected it shall not be possible to resubmit for a third time.

- - - - -



History of the document

Project 0830	Related to EB 58 Meeting Report Paragraph 84 26 November 2010	Decision Class: Ruling Document Type: Information Note Business Function: Issuance
------------------------	--	---