

## DOE Performance Monitoring

### Report to the Public

**1- Performance monitoring period: 1 July to 31 December 2010**

**2- Data for submissions finalised as of: 30 September 2011**

**3- Performance of DOEs:**

DOE <sup>1</sup>		PA <sup>2</sup> submitted for registration /issuance	PA for which a final decision was made	% of PA finalised	PA automatically registered	PA not admitted at the completeness -check stage	PA reviewed	PA rejected	PA withdrawn	I1 <sup>3</sup>	I2 <sup>4</sup>
Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVCH)	Registration	33	33	100%	28	5	5	2	0	0,15	1,30
	Issuance	87 <sup>5</sup>	87	100%	81	8	3	0	4	0,09	0,10
China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd. (CEC)	Registration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Issuance	10	10	100%	10	2	0	0	0	0,20	0

<sup>1</sup> Only DOEs that have had more than 10 projects finalised are subject to monitoring

<sup>2</sup> Project activity

<sup>3</sup> Rate of rejections at completeness check

<sup>4</sup> Rate of requests for review

<sup>5</sup> Due to IT system error

<sup>6</sup> The spot-check has already been realised

<b>Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	89 <sup>5</sup>	85	96%	60	6	19	3	6	0,07	1,14
	<b>Issuance</b>	87	86	99%	75	20	9	2	2	0,23	0,29
<b>ERM Certification and Verification Services Ltd. (ERM CVS)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	11	10	91%	9	3	1	0	0	0,30	0,30
	<b>Issuance</b>	28	28	100%	28	2	0	0	0	0,07	0
<b>Lloyd Register Quality Assurance Ltd. (LRQA)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	11	10	91%	6	0	4	0	0	0	1,40
	<b>Issuance</b>	10	10	100%	7	0	3	2	0	0	1,70 <sup>6</sup>
<b>SGS United Kingdom Limited (SGS)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	42	41	98%	31	9	10	1	0	0,22	0,90
	<b>Issuance</b>	117	116	99%	104	8	12	4	2	0,07	0,35
<b>TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV Nord)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	39	37	95%	28	5	9	1	0	0,14	1,32
	<b>Issuance</b>	69	68	99%	58	17	8	2	3	0,25	0,59
<b>TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd. (TUEV Rheinland)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	37	33	89%	26	5	7	1	0	0,15	0,70
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV SÜD)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	31	26	84%	20	2	6	1	0	0,08	0,73
	<b>Issuance</b>	56	55	98%	50	8	4	0	1	0,15	0,31

## History of the document

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Nature of revision</b>
3	09 November 2011	As required according to “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
2	01 August 2011	As required according to “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
1.1	04 May 2011	Editorial revision due to a mistake in the number of PA submitted for issuance
1	02 May 2011	As required according to “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
<b>Decision Class:</b> Operational <b>Document Type:</b> Information Note <b>Business Function:</b> Accreditation, Governance		