

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1607 Hubei Xiakou Hydropower Project of Nanzhang County, Xiangfan City, Hubei Province, P.R. China
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of t	the CDM modalities and procedures:
\square The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 2	t8 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
X The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
X The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall provided and taking into account the comments received, the	I make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information project activity should be validated;
	ation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project te of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determ the form of a validation report including the project design do has taken due account of comments received.	nines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in cument, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Data received at LINECCC secretariat	24.04.2009

- 1. The DOE is requested to explain the details regarding which input values used in the investment analysis differ in the original FSR (1999) and the updated report (2007).
- 2. The PP/DOE are requested to explain why the investments made prior to thee project start date (November 2005) are considered in the investment analysis.

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board



EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

- 3. As the start date of the project activity is prior to the starting of validation the PDD should contain a full description of the evidence showing the serious consideration of the CDM and the validation report must provide greater details regarding how compliance with this requirement has been validated.
- 4. The PP should provide further monitoring details of the two systems (30 MW and 1.6 MW).