1. The DOE shall describe how the reliability of the input values used in the investment analysis have been validated in accordance with the requirements of EB38 paragraph 54.

PP: the investment analysis is based on the *Feasibility Study Report (FSR)* and *Feasibility Study Complementarity Report (FSCR)*, which were approved by local authority. The FSCR was designed by the Hydro Power Reconnaissance & Design Institute of Hunan Province, Chenzhou. The FSCR was developed later than FSR and it revised some unreasonable parameters in FSR according to relevant national regulation (the *Economic Evaluation Code for Small Hydropower Projects, Document No. SL16-95)*. Since this project was developed and submitted prior EB38, the national regulation was considered as a more reliable data source than a special design report. However, the input values form FSR and FSCR have not been changed.

2. The DOE shall describe how the investment analysis has been validated, in particular the debt/equity ratio as this differs between the feasibility study report and the PDD.

PP: refer to the Question 1, the investment analysis is based on the FSR and FSCR, since the loan application has been rejected by the bank, the debt/equity ratio in FSCR has been set to 0% / 100%.

3. Further explanation for the delay in submitting the project for validation is required as this delay impacts the credibility of the claims that CDM revenues were an essential requirements for a positive investment decision. The response should provide a detailed timeline of project implementation and evidence of actions taken to register the project as CDM.

| Date | Event |
|--------------|--|
| 2003 | The project owner learned CDM during 2003 from other hydro CDM |
| | projects which have been developed at that time. |
| April, 2004 | A third party institute finished the Preliminary Financial Evaluation of |
| | the Project. In this document the financial indicator of the Project |
| | was identified to be lower than benchmark value. |
| 16 Sep. 2004 | Based on the Preliminary Financial Evaluation, the project owner |
| | decided to improve financial situation for the Project by applying |
| | CDM. The official management decision was made and issued in |
| | the meeting. |
| Oct. 2004 | Pre-construction, such as road building, site preparing, power and |
| | water supplying, was started. |
| 15 Nov. 2004 | The project owner contracted with a consulting company for CDM |
| | development. |
| August 2005 | The FSR has been finished. |
| August 2005 | The FSCR has been finished, some unreasonable parameters were |

PP: the timeline and events of the CDM progress are listed as follow:

| | revised. The financial indicator is low. |
|--------------|--|
| 16 Aug. 2005 | The bank rejected the loan application because high risk. The |
| | rejection letter sent to the project owner. |
| 1 Sep. 2005 | Because the Project faced investment barrier, the Development and |
| | Reform Commission of Zhengkang County issued a note for |
| | suggesting the Project apply for CDM. |
| 5 Sep. 2005 | The Project was approved by local authority. The construction was |
| | started. |
| Oct. 2006 | The contracted CDM consulting company could not finish |
| | development, project owner made a cancellation with the consulting |
| | company. |
| 5 Feb. 2007 | The project owner re-contracted with Accord Global Environment |
| | Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd. for CDM development. At this |
| | moment, all design documents, including FSR, FSCR and loan |
| | rejection, were available, they can reflect real financial situation |
| | better. |