

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat

☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.

☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information

☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of

provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;

Date received at UNFCCC secretariat 28.03.2008

how it has taken due account of comments received.

1. Further clarification is requested form the DOE on the level of assurance with which it has validated

the CDM consideration, in particular with regard to the means of validating the closure of CAR5.

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board



page 2

2. Para B.5.13 of the VR states: "Minutes of Board of Directors meeting dated 9th and10th January 2003 has been submitted. This demonstrates that the CDM was considered in the management decision for implementing the project activity".

This however is not sufficient evidence to demonstrate that CDM was seriously considered at the start of the project. More evidence is necessary to demonstrate how it was considered that the expected additional income from the CDM was essential for the decision to go ahead with the implementation of the project activity. This evidence shall also be validated by the DOE.

3. Further clarification is required on how the appropriateness of the input values to the cost calculation.

in particular the use of inconsistent values for coal consumption in the cost analysis (5,269 T/year) and

the emission reduction calculations (6,807 T/year), have been validated.

4. The DOE is requested to confirm that the procedure of measurement of flow rate and specific heat of

the hot air to the spray dryer is reliable enough to guarantee a accurate emission reductions.