

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

• * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1390 Power Generation (20MW) by utilizing Coke Oven Gas of China Coal and Coke Jiuxin Limited in Lingshi, Shanxi, P. R. China
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 a validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of support of the request for review, including any sup	of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a stakeholder have been invited, a stakeholder have been invited have	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are oposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the
☑ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with Executive Board;	th requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements to procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the conformation of the conform	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
$\ \square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 c	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
	n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants ated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the istainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contains shall make publicly available the project design document:	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make the	ne validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC m publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE sh provided and taking into account the comments received,	nall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information the project activity should be validated;
	ination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNECCC secretariat	25/12/2007

- 1. The DOE should explain in detail what steps it has taken to determine that the benchmark proposed by the project participants is the most suitable indicator against which to assess the financial viability of this project activity.
- 2. The DOE should provide information regarding how the key input values of the investment analysis have been validated and determined to reflect the true situation facing the underlying project activity.
- 3. The DOE should clearly state how the applicability condition that "no fuel switch is done in the process" has been validated.

4.	The methodology requires that "among the alternatives that do not face any prohibitive barriers, the most economically attractive alternative should be considered as the baseline scenario". No such comparison has been conducted in the determination of the baseline.