

 <p>CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i></p>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-23, Mato Grosso and Goias, Brazil; Project activity 1234
<p>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.</p>	
<p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.</p>	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	09/10/2007

Reason for request:

1. The PP shall further demonstrate the additionality of the project activity.
2. The PP shall further clarify in what way their references in the PDD to technical studies related to seepage in Manitoba and Kansas are pertinent for a project in Mato Grosso and Goias.
3. The PP shall further clarify what is their understanding of the reference to regulatory impetus in this sector.



4. The PP shall further clarify their statement that “This treatment approach is considered one of the most advanced AWMS systems in the world”.
5. The PP shall further explain their understanding of the current technological barriers as the argument provided is essentially economic in nature.
6. The PP shall further clarify which is the fraction of producers that do not possess the capacity of investment for a new AWMS, as stated by Professor Dr. Carlos Claúdio Perdomo, a swine and poultry researcher from EMBRAPA.
7. The PP shall further clarify the purported legal barriers.
8. Clarification is required as to how the use of animal weights to determine values for volatile solids (VS) were considered appropriate, in accordance with the methodology, and validated by the DOE.
9. Clarification is required as to whether the emission factor used for electricity consumption is calculated ex-ante and how the DOE validated its appropriateness.
10. The monitoring plan should include the more frequent monitoring of the methane content if significant deviations from the previous observations are observed.
11. The DOE shall further clarify how they have assessed and validated the responses to the following clarification requests:
 - a. Clarification Request 5: the language of the CR is suggestive of possible resolution. Requests clarification regarding guarantees that debundling will not be taking place over time. The Project participants’ response is “Site expansion can still be considered as part of the existing project activity”. This response leads to uncertainty regarding the possible debundling.
 - b. Clarification Request 8: While the PDD Version 3 shows all project emissions, the monitoring plan does not consider measurement of emissions from power consumed in the operation of the plant.
 - c. Clarification Request 14: Too many different issues are clubbed under one CR. Answer of the project participant to the CR is not adequate, transparent and satisfactory. It does not address specific request of the DOE i.e. existence of a procedure for monitoring the use of North American and European genetics. Just saying that without the use of these genetics the business would not profitable would not be sufficient.