Ņ	(By submitting this form, a	ty registration review form (F-CDM-RR) Party involved (through the designated national rd member may request that a review is undertaken)	
	ignated national authority/Executive Board mber submitting this form		
	e of the proposed CDM project activity mitted for registration	1164 AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-29, Sao Paulo, Brazil	
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.			
	The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
	The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
	Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;		
	 Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party; The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures; The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved b the Executive Board; 		
	\boxtimes Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities ar procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;		
	The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:			
		n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project n the designated national authority of each Party involved, including ists it in achieving sustainable development;	
	☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;		
	The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;		
	After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;		
	The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;		
	☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party a an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.		
There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.			
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat			
Dat	e received at UNFCCC secretariat	13/08/2007	
1. Eurther details and clarification are needed regarding the formula used for calculation of VS, and it			

- should be more clearly stated that the project participant will comply with the requirements of paragraph 6 of the approved methodology to use the lower of the two options.
- 2. The reasons for estimated high growth rates in animal numbers on certain farms should be more clearly explained.
- 3. Further clarification is required regarding the method to be employed for calculation of the animal population.

- 4. As effluent will be stored in secondary and tertiary lagoons information should be provided on how emissions from these sources will be accounted for, further information regarding how sludge will be monitored in accordance with paragraph 5 (v).
- 5. It should be more clearly stated which parameters are being fixed ex-ante and which parameters will be monitored during the crediting period. For all parameters the methods of monitoring or estimation should be clearly described.
- 6. Further evidence is required regarding how the issue of potential debundling between this and similar projects, both registered and requesting registration, has been validated.