

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

	<u> </u>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form		
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	75MW wind power project in Maharashtra by Essel Mining Industries Limited; Project activity 1119	
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.		
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a s to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due acc	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;	
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impa	nentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project bacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host nent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
	in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are roposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	ith requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by	
☐Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements f and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP a	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.	
\square The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
	n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project n the designated national authority of each Party involved, including ists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ined in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ument;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make there	the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC em publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE sh information provided and taking into account the comment	nall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the ts received, the project activity should be validated;	
	ination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.		
$\hfill \square$ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat		
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	10/07/2007	

Reason for request:

- 1. The PDD states that the NAN2 baseline emission factor has been calculated based on historic data from two campaigns (p.27) while the Validation Report states data from three campaigns have been used (p.11).
- 2. Detailed calculation of the baseline emission factor for NAN2 is not made available in the PDD.
- 3. An arithmetic average has been calculated to determine baseline emissions from both plants. Since the capacities of the two plants are different, further clarification is required as to why an arithmetic average has been justified as appropriate to represent the baseline emission factor for the project activity.

4. According to the PDD, the effect of pressure drop in operation of NAN2 would be compensated by reducing flow air and increasing the ammonia input (p.7). Clarification is required as to how the leakage emissions associated with the increase in ammonia production would be addressed