



**CDM project activity/programme of activities issuance request review form
(Version 03.0)**

Section 1. General Information

Designated national authority or Executive Board member submitting this form		
Title and UNFCCC reference number of the project activity or programme of activities (PoA)	AWMS Methane Recovery Project MX06-S-34, Coahuila and Durango, México (1080)	
Titles and reference numbers of the component project activities (CPAs) covered by the request for issuance	CPA ref. number	CPA title
Date of request for issuance	22 Mar 12	
Monitoring period to which the request for issuance applies	17 Jun 07 - 31 Jan 11	
UNFCCC reference number of the request for issuance (For PoA only)		

Section 2. Basis for review request

Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review.

☐ Fraud
 ☐ Malfeasance
 ☒ Incompetence

Section 3: Comments Supporting Review Request

Please elaborate the reason for requesting a review on the issues you indicated in section 2 above

- 1) During the site visit to Llocee SA de CV site, the DOE identified that a new project is being built around the digester, which is primarily aimed at increasing the production of biogas in the digester, to stir the sludge suspended, improving the gas extraction in order to streamline the measurement and gas burned. The DOE is requested to provide further clarification on this new project (including timeline), in particular, whether this new project represent a change in the project design and operation. Please refer to VVM version 01.2 Paragraph 197.
- 2) The DOE assessed the sludge removal reports for sites 32452 (August and October 2009) and 2000024 (July 2009) and confirmed that the sludge removal and disposal was done in accordance with the requirements of the methodology and the PDD, and that the sludge is disposed of by applying to soil. However the verification report does not indicate how the DOE confirmed that proper soil application of the sludge leaving the digesters in the project activity has been ensured and monitored, and whether the sludge has been treated and/or disposed aerobically, in accordance with applied methodology. Further clarification is required. Please refer to VVM version 01.2 Paragraph 205 (b).
- 3) The DOE identified that the gas analyser used to monitor the methane content of the biogas has an accuracy of 0.5% for methane contents of less than 5%, and an accuracy of 3% for methane contents above 15%. However the monitoring plan indicates that the equipment is accurate to within 0.5%. The DOE is requested to explain how it confirmed that the monitoring has been conducted as per monitoring plan. Please refer to VVM version 01.2 Paragraph 205 (c).