0956		
CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is underten)		
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form		
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Demand side energy efficiency projects at RIL-PG in India (0956)	
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.		
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	f the CDM modalities and procedures:	
The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a s to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due acc	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;	
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impa	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host ent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are oposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wi the Executive Board;	th requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by	
Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and	
The project activity conforms to all other requirements f and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP a	or CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.	
\Box The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 o	f the CDM modalities and procedures:	
	report to the Executive Board, have received from the project the designated national authority of each Party involved, including sts it in achieving sustainable development;	
In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ment;	
The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make there	ne validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC n publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE sh information provided and taking into account the comment	all make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the s received, the project activity should be validated;	
	nation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the nd the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive	
—	mines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.	
There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNECCC secretariat		

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretari

Date received at UNFCCC secretariat 23/03/07	
--	--

Reasons for request:

Although the selected baseline methodology is in line with the Small-scale CDM project activity category approved by the EB " Category: II.D. Energy Efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities" version 7, the Excel file showing the calculations did not use the emission factor of 0.794 tons CO2/MWh (cell D35). Instead the value of 0.859 (and 0.879 tons CO2/MWh from date 4/1/06 onwards) was used in computations of emissions reductions in both Measures I and II.

The DOE did not realize this point.

Further clarification is needed on this issue and recalculation might be needed (although the emission factor used is higher and therefore the project will result in fewer emissions reductions).