

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

and the state of t	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Biomass-based cogeneration units at Uttar Pradesh (0947)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	f the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impa	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host ent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that e proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP a	s for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and hits received.
$\hfill \square$ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	17/04/2007

## **Reasons for Request:**

1. The project participants didn't address how and why the generated electricity and steam will replace fossil-based electricity and steam consumed by the plants. The PDD didn't provide sufficient information whether this project activity is additional - it lacks referring IRR or any economic tools or a strong convincing barrier that would prove the project activities additionality.

## UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board

EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

Also, the PDD lacks information about biomass resources (amount required by the cogeneration plants and available biomass) and whether use of biomass will be sustainable in the long term (any references of study about biomass availability).