CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Yangquan Coal Mine Methane (CMM) Utilization for Power generation project, Shanxi Province (0892)
	ns 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide including any supporting documentation.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragra	aph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in pa	ragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
	vited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report of due account was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if th	Edocumentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project lose impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	duction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that nee of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies co the Executive Board;	emply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and repo procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MC	rting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and DP;
☐ The project activity conforms to all other require and procedures and relevant decisions by the CO	ements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities P/MOP and the Executive Board.
\square The following are requirements derived from paragra	aph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:
participants written approval of voluntary participa	ralidation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project tion from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including vity assists it in achieving sustainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentialit DOE shall make publicly available the project design.	cy contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ign document;
The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, common accredited non-governmental organizations and m	ents on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC nake them publicly available;
	e DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the comments received, the project activity should be validated;
	determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the idation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
	if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for ling the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and

Reasons for Request:

Date received at UNFCCC secretariat

an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat

1. Regarding the investment barrier, it is inappropriate to calculate the IRR of the project for only phase I. The project developer should calculate the IRR for the entire capacity of 90 MW for which the emission reductions are claimed.

18/03/2007

🗵 There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.

- 2. a) The validator disregarded a public comment with an insufficient explanation in the first round of publication of the PDD. The comment should have been covered.
- b) In the validation report the validator's answer to the public comment given in the second round of publication refers to annex 3 of the validation report, which is an empty page. The validator has to complete the validation report accordingly. On the UNFCCC website, the text of this Annex 3 is available but misleadingly labelled Appendix to the PDD.
- c) The signature of Werner Betzenbichler in the validation report has a wrong date (2006 instead of 2007)
- d) Annex 2 is labelled "Validation of the "Facilitating Reforestation for Guangxi Watershed Management In Pearl River Basin", China"; the correct project name should be inserted