

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

3,	,
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Optimum utilisation of clinker for Pozzalana Portland Cement (PPC) production at Birla Plus Cement in Bathinda, Punjab, India (0863)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 c	f the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a stothe designated operational entity (DOE) on how due according to the designated operational entity (DOE) and the designated operational entity (DOE) are the designated operations.	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host ent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that e proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements to and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP at	or CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
\square The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 c	f the CDM modalities and procedures:
	report to the Executive Board, have received from the project the designated national authority of each Party involved, including sts it in achieving sustainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ment;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make the	ne validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC m publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
	nation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the nd the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nts received.
$\hfill\square$ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	27/03/2007

Reasons:

1. The PP claims additionality of the project, based on barriers due to the increase of additives and hence the reduction of the clinker content. However the project activity in the baseline situation already achieved a level of 25,6% additives without the help of CDM. The barriers described refer to the increase of additives so far, but provide no convincing arguments how further increase of the level of additives would create a substantial additional barrier.

Furthermore the PP does not provide any information on the cost savings related to the reduced use of clinker.