

Mr. Hans Jurgen Stehr Chair, CDM Executive Board UNFCCC Secretariat CDMinfo@unfccc.int

September 3<sup>rd</sup> 2007

Re: Request for clarifications for issuance of the CER for "Shri Bajrang WHR CDM Project "(Ref. no. 0528)

Dear Mr. Stehr,

SGS has been informed that the request for issuance for the CDM project activity "Shri Bajrang WHR CDM Project "(Ref. no. 0528) for the monitoring period 01 September 2006 to 31 May 2007 is under consideration for review because three requests for review have been received from members of the Board.

The requests for review are based on the same reasons outlined below and read. SGS would like to provide a response to the issue raised by the review team:

## Request for clarification to the DOE/PP:

1. <u>The DOE reported that the project participant wrongly entered data for electricity generation and</u> <u>auxiliary consumption and has accepted corrections to the data in a revised monitoring report. While</u> <u>the DOE has confirmed in the first verification report that the QA procedure for internal data exists, it</u> <u>is unclear from the current verification report whether an assessment was conducted by the DOE to</u> <u>check if the QA procedure was being followed or needs to be revised to avoid such mistakes</u>

**SGS Reply:** The hard copies of records which were checked during the site visit were found to be in order and the QA/QC procedures were being followed. This is the typographical mistake which happened due to the entry from the manual records to the CER calculation spreadsheet and this was pointed out while checking the spreadsheet with the manual records. The auxiliary consumption of entire plant including the sponge iron plant was taken instead of power plant auxiliaries which needs to be considered as these are in the project boundary. The plant personnel have been instructed by the management to avoid such mistakes in future and the spreadsheets would be doubly checked vis-à-vis data before entering these into the monitoring report.

2 The summary and introduction sections of the verification report refer to 'first periodic verification'. Since this is the second request for issuance, these sections need to be corrected.

**SGS Reply:** The necessary corrections have been made and the revised verification and certification report is attached as Annex 1.

Therefore, we feel that the clarification sought by board members has been taken into account. We do however apologize if this was not sufficiently clear from the earlier verification and certification report.



Sanjeev Kumar (0091 9871794628) will be the contact person for the review process and is available to address questions from the Board during the consideration of the review in case the Executive Board wishes.

Yours sincerely

Siddharth Yadav Technical Reviewer <u>siddharth.yadav@sgs.com</u> T: +44 (0) 1276 697837 M: +44 (0) 7712 785772 Sanjeev Kumar Lead Auditor <u>Sanjeev.kumar@sgs.com</u> T: + 91 124 2399990 - 98 M: + 91 9871794628

Annex 1: Revised Verification & Certification report