

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR)
(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

authority) of all Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	(414) Solar Steam for Cooking and other applications – CDM project in India
	and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which it of requirements is provided below. Please provide ding any supporting documentation.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37	7 of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragrap	ohs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
	a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a v due account was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those in	mentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project npacts are considered significant by the project participants or the ssessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host
	n in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
xX The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply by the Executive Board;	with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved
procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
xX The project activity conforms to all other requirement and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOF	nts for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities P and the Executive Board.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
	ion report to the Executive Board, have received from the project om the designated national authority of each Party involved, including sists it in achieving sustainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality cont DOE shall make publicly available the project design do	tained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the cument;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations ar	n the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and nd make them publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE information provided and taking into account the comme	shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the ents received, the project activity should be validated;
	mination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the n and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
	etermines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for e project design document, the written approval of the host Party and nents received.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretaria	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	04/06/06

It is unclear from the PDD why it is assumed that diesel is the baseline fuel. How is this assured? Cooking may also be based on renewable or non-renewable biomass for which the methodology used would not apply.

It is unclear how potentially new locations in the bundle will be treated as these would not have been validated (according to the PDD page 3 the bundling organisation reserves the right to replace projects being part of a bundle, while at the same time this replacement is based on the

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board



EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

assumption that the overall emission reductions of the bundle will not be increased by replacing a project being part of the bundle).

The project activity seems to anticipate the proposed new methodology for non-renewable biomass which has not yet been approved by EB; there is no substantiation that diesel is really used in the baseline.

There is a clear decision by EB 21 that the composition of a bundle must not change over time; in the PDD there is an explicit reference to possible changes in the bundle which contradicts this decision.

According to EB 21 decision, it has to be demonstrated that the bundle will remain below the limit in every year of the crediting period; in the PDD I did not find any proof that the project activity is below the 15 MW, it is simply stated as a fact without any calculations to show that this is the case.

There is a special form for submission of bundles, which has not been used by the PP.