

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	0086 Poechos I Project
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	DNV-CUK 13.09.2007
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DOE has verified that the project consists of a 15.2 MW hydroelectric power plant. However, the monitoring report and the spreadsheet indicated a capacity of 15.4 MW. Clarification is required.</li> <li>2. According to the monitoring plan, real NEC (Net Efficiency Conversions) per power plant needs to be taken from the most recently COES annual statistics. At the end of the year, NECs per technology should be replaced by using the most recent year published NEC information. However, it appears that the same values of NECs per technology as in the PDD have been used in this monitoring report. Clarification is required.</li> </ol>	
<b>1. Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
<b>Date received at UNFCCC secretariat</b>	25/09/2007