

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

27	,
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Koppal Green Power Limited Biomass Power Project; Project activity 1383
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
☑The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
☑The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	14/01/2008

Reasons for request:

- 1. Further clarification is required on how the prevailing practice barrier has been verified and validated.
- 2. Considering the time gap between start of the project activity and the submission for validation, further clarification is required on how the DOE has validated that the CDM was seriously considered before implementation.
- 3. In addition, the Validation report states that "The proof of CDM consideration is presented in the form of minutes of meeting of Board of Directors of KGPL. The meeting was held on July 05, 2000. This was confirmed through appropriate documentary evidence. (Certified copy of minutes of meeting by R. Ramakrishna Gupta, R & A Associates, Company Secretary, CP No. 6696). The evidence suggests that CDM was seriously considered prior to

- start of the project activity on March 12, 2003". The DOE shall further clarify whether the evidence confirms or merely suggests that the CDM was considered prior to start date of project activity.
- 4. Furthermore, the PDD states that "All the finances and economics of the plant were planned based on the returns calculated as per the expected tariffs from KPTCL.". Further clarification is required on how this evidence was assessed by the DOE when validating additionality.
- 5. AMS-I.D. Version 10 is applied by the project participant. However, further clarification and elaboration is required on the calculation of the baseline emission factor in chapter 4. While using the CM approach as per procedures in ACM0002 (version 6), the choice of simple OM within the four options is not substantiated (PDD, p.12) nor explicitly scrutinized by the DOE (validation report, B.1.1. and B.1.2., p.30 commented only). Further clarification is also required.
- 6. The DOE shall further confirm to a fully adequate level that the following requirements have been met:
 - a. Approved baseline and monitoring methodologies have been applied appropriately;
 - b. Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are appropriate.