

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form		
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1309 Jiangsu Qingshi Cement Plant's Low Temperature Waste Heat Power Generation Project	
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.		
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a stakeholders have been invited.	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the was taken of any comments has been received;	
	entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
_ , , , ,	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are oposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the	
☑ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit Executive Board;	th requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the	
☑ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements f procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and t	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:		
	n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants ated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the istainable development;	
<ul> <li>In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contains shall make publicly available the project design document;</li> </ul>	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;		
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE sh provided and taking into account the comments received, the comments received, the comments received in the comments received in the comments.	all make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information the project activity should be validated;	
	nation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	rmines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of	
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat		
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	25/12/2007	

- 1. The DOE should explain in detail what steps it has taken to determine that the benchmark proposed by the project participants is the most suitable indicator against which to assess the financial viability of this project activity.
- 2. The DOE should provide information regarding how the key input values of the investment analysis have been validated and determined to reflect the true situation facing the underlying project activity.
- 3. The methodology requires that "among the alternatives that do not face any prohibitive barriers, the most economically attractive alternative should be considered as the baseline scenario". No such comparison has been conducted in the determination of the baseline.

4.	The DOE is requested to provide information to confirm how it will be ensured that the project activity will not lead to a diversion of waste heat from use in the preheating process.	