

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

	, ,
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Guangdong Nan'ao Huaneng 45.05MW Wind Power Project (0299)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 (of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraph	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp	nentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project pacts are considered significant by the project participants or the sessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host
The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with Executive Board;	th requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	e in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation participants written approval of voluntary participation from confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assi	n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project n the designated national authority of each Party involved, including ists it in achieving sustainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ument;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on t UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and	he validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and make them publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shinformation provided and taking into account the commen	nall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the ts received, the project activity should be validated;
The DOE shall inform project participants of its determ project participants will include confirmation of validation ε Board;	ination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it dete registration in the form of a validation report including the an explanation of how it has taken due account of comme	ermines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and entering received.
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at LINECCC secretariet 12/06/06	

Reasons for Request:

1. The baseline methodology used in the project is inappropriate and the baseline emission factor calculated in the PDD is incorrect. The project used AM0005 as baseline and monitoring methodology, which was replaced by consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0002. Therefore, the PDD has to be adjusted to ACM0002 and the baseline emission factor has to be revised accordingly.

- 2. The project proponents used an alternative method to calculate BM emission factor and the DOE has validated it. However, if DOEs and/or project participants want to use a new baseline methodology, they need to propose the new methodology to CDM EB and get it approved.
- 3. The DOE appears not to have evaluated the PDD carefully. There are typo errors in the values which change them completely. The DOE is expected to check and double check to screen out such errors.
- 4. One of the identified barriers to the implementation of the project is the small IRR of the project when compared to "China's project IRR benchmark" No references have been provided for those numbers. It is not clear on what basis the DOE validated the benchmark IRRs.