

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1702 SMC WHRB 1&2
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of	the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 2	28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a sur the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account	mmary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to was taken of any comments has been received;
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
', ', '	othropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are osed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the
X The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with re Executive Board;	equirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of $\imath$	the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	nines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the	e DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	24.07.2008

- 1. Further clarification is required how the investment comparison analysis has been validated by the DOE, in particular the appropriateness of comparing: (a) a 16 MW coal based power plant with 95% PLF with the project activity with 66% PLF, and (b) backup power cost for the project activity with no back-up cost for the alternative.
- 2. The DOE is requested to provide further explanation for the delay in submitting the project for validation to show that CDM revenues were considered essential in the decision to invest in the project activity. The response should provide a detailed timeline of project implementation with relevant, preferably third-party evidences.

## UNFCCC/CCNUCC



**CDM - Executive Board** 



page 2

3. Further clarification is required on the baseline alternative considered, as the PDD and the validation report do not refer to the same baseline alternative.