

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

or an Executive Board member may request that a review is anaertakeny	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1472 "Surplus power generation for grid" at Vayyuru, Andhra Pradesh
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of t	the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 2	8 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a sun the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account	nmary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impact	tation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project ts are considered significant by the project participants or the host tin accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;
	inthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are osed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with r Executive Board;	requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the	CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and Executive Board.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained shall make publicly available the project design document;	d in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOI
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make them p	validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC publicly available;
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the	DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	20.03.2008

- 1. The use of a 10-year period of assessment for the investment analysis should be justified in the context of the project activity.
- 2. The source of the input values in the investment analysis should be transparently described and be validated by the DOE.
- 3. Given the time gap between the decision to invest in the project activity and the commencement of validation the DOE should state with what level of assurance it considers that this project activity would not have been implemented without the CDM.

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board



EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

- 4. The DOE should validate that the project activity complies with the requirement of scenario 14 of ACM0006 v4 that "the existing power plant would continue to operate without significant changes, until it would need to be replaced at the end of its technical lifetime", in particular that the end of the technical lifetime is not within the proposed crediting period.
- 5. As the quantity of biomass consumed is to be reported on a wet basis, the NCV reporting should be done in a consistent manner.