DET NORSKE VERITAS

## **APPENDIX A**

VALIDATION PROTOCOL FOR SMALL-SCALE CDM PROJECT ACTIVITIES

## Table 1 Mandatory Requirement for Small Scale Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) Project Activities

				Cross Reference/
Re	equirement	Reference	Conclusion	Comment
1.	The project shall assist Parties included in Annex I in achieving compliance with part of their emission reduction commitment under Art. 3	Kyoto Protocol Art. 12.2	OK	Table 2, Section E.4.1
2.	The project shall assist non-Annex I Parties in achieving sustainable development and shall have obtained confirmation by the host country thereof	Kyoto Protocol Art. 12.2, Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §23a	CAR 1	Table 2, Section A.3
3.	The project shall assist non-Annex I Parties in contributing to the ultimate objective of the UNFCCC	Kyoto Protocol Art. 12.2.	CAR1	Host country approval has not been obtained.
4.	The project shall have the written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each party involved	Kyoto Protocol Art. 12.5a, Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §23a	CAR1	Host country approval has not been obtained.
5.	The emission reductions should be real, measurable and give long-term benefits related to the mitigation of climate change	Kyoto Protocol Art. 12.5b	ОК	Table 2, Section E.1 to E.4
6.	Reduction in GHG emissions must be additional to any that would occur in absence of the project activity, i.e. a CDM project activity is additional if anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the registered CDM project activity	Kyoto Protocol Art. 12.5.c, Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §26	CL 2	Table 2, Section B.2.1
7.	Potential public funding for the project from Parties in Annex I shall not be a diversion of official development	Decision 17/CP.7	ОК	The Project is proposed as a unilateral Project

DET NORSKE VERITAS

Requirement	Reference	Conclusion	Cross Reference/ Comment
assistance			
8. Parties participating in the CDM shall designate a national authority for the CDM	CDM Modalities and Procedures § 29	ОК	DNA of India: National Clean development Mechanism Authority, Ministry of environment and forests
9. The host Party and the participating Annex I Party shall be a Party to the Kyoto Protocol	CDM Modalities and Procedures § 30, 31b	OK	India: Ratification on August 2002
10. The participating Annex I Party's assigned amount shall have been calculated and recorded	CDM Modalities and Procedures §31b	Annex I party has not yet been identified	The Project is proposed as a unilateral Project
11. The participating Annex I Party shall have in place a national system for estimating GHG emissions and a national registry in accordance with Kyoto Protocol Article 5 and 7	CDM Modalities and Procedures §31b	As above	The Project is proposed as a unilateral Project
<ul> <li>12. The proposed project activity shall meet the eligibility criteria for small scale CDM project activities set out in § 6 (c) of the Marrakesh Accords and shall not be a debundled component of a larger project activity</li> </ul>	Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §12a,c	OK	Table 2, Section A.1
13. The project design document shall conform with the Small Scale CDM Project Design Document format	Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities, Appendix A	ОК	
14. The proposed project activity shall confirm to one of the project categories defined for small scale CDM project activities and uses the simplified baseline and monitoring methodology for that project category	Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §22e	CAR 2	Table2,SectionA.1.3, B and D
15. Comments by local stakeholders are invited, and a summary of these provided	Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §22b	OK	Table 2, Section G Local stake holders are consulted by the project proponent

DET NORSKE VERITAS

Requirement	Reference	Conclusion	Cross Reference/ Comment
16. If required by the host country, an analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity is carried out and documented	Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §22c	ОК	Table 2, Section F
17. Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited NGOs have been invited to comment on the validation requirements and comments have been made publicly available	Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small Scale CDM Project Activities §23b,c,d	OK	The PDD was be made publicly available on <u>www.dnv.com/certificat</u> <u>ion/climatechange</u> and Parties, stakeholders and NGOs will through the CDM website be invited to provide comments during the 30 day period from 2 <sup>nd</sup> March 2006 to 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2006.

## Table 2 Requirements Checklist

Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Draft Concl.	<sup>-</sup> inal Concl.
<b>A. Project Description</b> The project design is assessed.					
<b>A.1. Small scale project activity</b> It is assess whether the project qualifies as small scale CDM project activity.					
A.1.1. Does the project qualify as a small scale CDM project activity as defined in paragraph 6 (c) of decision 17/CP.7 on the modalities and procedures for the CDM?	PDD	DR	The Project activity is enhancement of bagasse based cogeneration from 10 MW to 15MW by incorporating new 12 MW & 3 MW turbo alternators and the old turbines aggregating to 10 MW have been kept as stand by. The excess power will be exported to the grid. All applicable conditions under Type I. D, Version 8 of Small scale project methodology regarding the boiler capacity have not been addressed.	CAR 2	
A.1.2. The small scale project activity is not a de bundled component of a larger project activity?	PDD	DR	The proponent has not registered any small scale CDM project in the last 2 years and the project boundary is not within 1 km radius of any other proposed small scale CDM project.		ОК
A.1.3. Does proposed project activity confirm to one of the project categories defined for small scale CDM project activities?	PDD	DR	The Category of the project under B.2 has not been clearly mentioned in the PDD.	CL 1	

Checklist Question	Rof	MoV*	Comments	Draft Concl	Final
A.2. Project Design Validation of project design focuses on the choice of technology and the design documentation of the project.	Net.		Commenta	501101.	
A.2.1. Are the project's spatial (geographical) boundaries clearly defined?	PDD	DR	Yes, it is clearly defined. The spatial boundary of the project includes the project site (up to the evacuation point of electricity to state grid). The project is located at Vuyyuru village, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh, India. Evacuation point will be Vuyyuru sub-station approximately 0.5 Km from the project.		ОК
A.2.2. Are the project's system (components and facilities used to mitigate GHG's) boundaries clearly defined?	PDD Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	The project boundary covers the fuel storage yard, boiler, turbo alternator & related auxiliary equipments.		ОК
A.2.3. Does the project design engineering reflect current good practices?	Err or! Refe renc	DR	The technological details related to project have not been clearly mentioned.	CL 2	

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
	Err				
	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
A.2.4. Will the project result in technology	Err	DR	The technology is already available in the country		OK
transfer to the host country?	or!		and thus no technology transfer is envisaged in the		
	Refe		project		
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
A.2.5. Does the project require extensive initial	Err	DR	Yes, the project will require trained and qualified		OK
training and maintenance efforts in order	or!		manpower in order to work as presumed during the		

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
to work as presumed during the project period? Does the project make provisions for meeting training and maintenance needs?	Refe renc e sour ce not foun d. Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.		project period. Qualified personnel as per statutory requirements in India should carry out the boiler operations. The certificate of qualification of the personal operating the boiler was evidenced during site visit.		
<b>A.3. Contribution to Sustainable Development</b> The project's contribution to sustainable development is assessed					
A.3.1. Will the project create other environmental or social benefits than GHG emission reductions?	Erro r! Refe renc e	DR	Host country approval has not been obtained.	CAR1	

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	sour ce not foun d. Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.				
A.3.2. Will the project create any adverse environmental or social effects?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d. Erro r! Refe renc	DR	The details of the environmental effects have not been clearly outlined in the PDD.	CL 3	

				<b>Draft</b>	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	е				
	sour				
	ce				
	foun				
	d				
	u. Enn			CAD1	
A.3.3. Is the project in line with sustainable		DR	Host country approval has not been obtained.	CARI	
	Rele				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce not				
	foun				
	d				
A 2.4 la the preject in line with relevant	u. Frr		The statue of the Air and Water Concepts from the	CL 4	
A.3.4. Is the project in line with relevant	orl	DR	APPCP has not been included	CL 4	
			AFFCB has not been included.		
	rone				
	SUUI CO				
	not				
	four				
	d				
1	u.				

Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Draft Concl.	⁼inal Concl.
<b>B.</b> Project Baseline The validation of the project baseline establishes whether the selected baseline methodology is appropriate and whether the selected baseline represents a likely baseline scenario.					
<b>B.1. Baseline Methodology</b> It is assessed whether the project applies an appropriate baseline methodology.					
B.1.1. Is the selected baseline methodology in line with the baseline methodologies provided for the relevant project category?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Comment reserved till the comments under A.1.1 is resolved.	CAR2	
B.1.2. Is the baseline methodology applicable to the project being considered?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun	DR	Comment reserved till the comments under A.1.1 is resolved.	CAR 2	

Checklist Question	Pof	Mo\/*	Commonto	<b>Draft</b>	Final
	d.	VIOV	Comments	JUIICI.	501101.
<b>B.2. Baseline Determination</b> It is assessed whether the project activity itself is not a likely baseline scenario and whether the selected baseline represents a likely baseline scenario.					
B.2.1. Is it demonstrated that the project activity itself is not a likely baseline scenario due to the existence of one or more of the following barriers: investment barriers, technology barriers, barriers due to prevailing practice or other barriers?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d. Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	The arguments for additionality are based primarily on investment, and tariff policy, barriers. There is inconsistency in the data used to work out the IRR analysis especially with respect to main variables viz. power tariff & Initial investment. The PDD also mentions an IRR of 15%. However no analysis with comparable benchmarks have been mentioned.	CL 5	
B.2.2. Is the application of the baseline methodology and the discussion and	Erro r!	DR	The chosen baseline is in accordance with the laid down baseline methodology AMS ID under	CL 6	

Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Draft Concl.	⁼inal Concl.
determination of the chosen baseline transparent and conservative?	Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.		appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small scale CDM project activities. The estimation of the baseline emission factor has not been addressed clearly in the PDD under B 5. The estimation has to consider the last three years data. The details of the baseline scenario to be documented clearly in the PDD.		
B.2.3. Are relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances taken into account?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Yes, The selection of weighted average based on the present generation mix is justifiable considering the future expansion projects coming up in the state. The generation pattern will not change significantly over the crediting period.		ОК
B.2.4. Is the baseline selection compatible with the available data?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2	CL6	

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
B.2.5. Does the selected baseline represent the most likely scenario describing what would have occurred in absence of the project activity?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2	CL6	
C. Duration of the Project / Crediting Period					
It is assessed whether the temporary boundaries of the project are clearly defined.					
C.1.1. Are the project's starting date and operational lifetime clearly defined?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d. Err or! Refe renc	DR	The date of commencing the project mentioned in the PDD is found to be inconsistent. PDD mentions the date of commencing the project is 5 <sup>th</sup> Sept 2004, however during site visit it was observed that the construction activity (Physical activity) has started only after 18 <sup>th</sup> November 2004.	CL 7	

				<b>Draft</b>	<sup>=</sup> inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	VOV*	Comments	Jonci.	Sonci.
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.			CT A	
C.1.2. Is the assumed crediting time clearly	Err	DR	The starting date of the crediting period mentioned	CL 8	
defined (renewable crediting period of	or!		as 1 <sup>st</sup> Jan 2006 is not appropriate as the PDD has		
fixed crediting period of 10 years with pe	Refe		The start of the crediting period can be only from		
renewal)?	renc		the date of registration		
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	Toun				
	а. Б-т				
	Err				
	or! Defe				
	Kele				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce not				
	four				
	d				
	u.				

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	VIOV*	Comments	Jonci.	Jonci.
<b>D.</b> Monitoring Plan The monitoring plan review aims to establish whether all relevant project aspects deemed necessary to monitor and report reliable emission					
reductions are properly addressed.					
<b>D.1. Monitoring Methodology</b> It is assessed whether the project applies an appropriate monitoring methodology.					
D.1.1. Is the selected monitoring methodology in line with the monitoring methodologies provided for the relevant project category?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Comments reserved till the comment under A1.1 is resolved.		
D.1.2. Is the monitoring methodology applicable to the project being considered?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not	DR	Refer comments above.		

Charklist Quastian	Pof	10\/*	Commonto	<b>Draft</b>	Final
	foun d.	VIOV	Comments	Jonei.	Joner.
D.1.3. Is the application of the monitoring methodology transparent?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Yes.		ОК
D.1.4. Will the monitoring methodology give opportunity for real measurements of achieved emission reductions?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Yes, the monitoring of generation of electricity will be through DCS, which will give real time measurements.		ОК
<b>D.2. Monitoring of Project Emissions</b> It is established whether the monitoring plan provides for reliable and complete project emission data over time.					
D.2.1. Does the monitoring plan provide for the collection and archiving of all relevant data	Err or!		Since the project is renewable energy based, there will be no project emissions. No use of fossil fuel is		OK

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	<b>VoV</b> *	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
necessary for estimation or measuring the	Refe		envisaged.		
greenhouse gas emissions within the	renc				
project boundary during the crediting	e				
period?	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
	Err				
	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
D.2.2. Are the choices of project GHG indicators	Err	DR	Yes.		OK
reasonable?	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
D.2.3. Will it be possible to monitor / measure the specified project GHG indicators?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Yes it is possible with the data being monitored.		ОК
D.2.4. Will the indicators give opportunity for real measurements of project emissions?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Yes.		ОК
<b>D.3. Monitoring of Leakage</b> If applicable, it is assessed whether the monitoring plan provides for reliable and complete leakage data over time.					
D.3.1. Does the monitoring plan provide for the collection and archiving of all relevant data necessary for determining leakage?	Err or! Refe		No leakage is assumed in the project.		ОК

				<b>Draft</b>	<sup>-</sup> inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
	Err				
	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	10un				
	u.				
D.4. Monitoring of Baseline Emissions					
It is established whether the monitoring plan					
provides for reliable and complete project					
emission data over time.					
D 4 1 Does the monitoring plan provide for the	Erro		Vec		OK
collection and archiving of all relevant data	rl		163.		ON
necessary for determining baseline	Refe				
emissions during the crediting period?	renc				
	е				
	sour				

				Draft	<sup>-</sup> inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	ce not foun d. Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.				
D.4.2. Is the choice of baseline indicators, in particular for baseline emissions, reasonable?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	The choice of indicators is sufficient to monitor the CO <sub>2</sub> , the relevant GHG.		OK
D.4.3. Will it be possible to monitor / measure the specified baseline indicators?	Err or! Refe renc	DR	Yes it is possible with the data being monitored.		OK

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	e sour ce not foun d.				
D.4.4. Will the indicators give opportunity for real measurements of baseline emissions?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Yes, the data will be archived in paper form and archived until two years after verification		OK
<b>D.5. Project Management Planning</b> It is checked that project implementation is properly prepared for and that critical arrangements are addressed.					
D.5.1. Is the authority and responsibility of project management clearly described?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not	DR	The authority and the responsibility to be clearly mentioned in the project management procedures.	CL 9	

Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Draft Concl.	⁼inal Concl.
	foun d.				
D.5.2. Is the authority and responsibility for registration monitoring measurement and reporting clearly described?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	The authority for monitoring measurement & reporting to be formalised.	CL9	
D.5.3. Are procedures identified for training of monitoring personnel?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Training procedures to be formalised.	CL9	
D.5.4. 9Are procedures identified for emergency preparedness for cases where emergencies can cause unintended emissions?	Err or! Refe renc e sour	DR	The emergency preparedness procedures are not yet formalised.	CL9	

				<b>Draft</b>	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
D.5.5. Are procedures identified for calibration of	Err	DR	Yes.		ОК
monitoring equipment?	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
	Err				
	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
D.5.6. Are procedures identified for maintenance	Err	DR	Yes		
of monitoring equipment and installations?	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
	Err				
	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
D.5.7. Are procedures identified for monitoring,	Err	DR	The monitoring measurement & reporting	CL9	
measurements and reporting?	or!		procedures are not formalised.		
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
D.5.8. Are procedures identified for day-to-day	Err	DR	The procedures for documentation are not	CL9	
records handling (including what records	or!				

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
to keep, storage area of records and how	Refe		formalised		
to process performance documentation)	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
D.5.9. Are procedures identified for dealing with	Err	DR	The procedures for adjustments and uncertainties	CL9	
possible monitoring data adjustments and	or!		are not formalised.		
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	SOUI CO				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
D.5.10. Are procedures identified for internal	Erro	DR	The procedures for internal audits are not	CL9	
audits of GHG project compliance with	r!		formalised		
operational requirements as applicable?	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	Ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				

				<b>Draft</b>	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	VoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
D.5.11. Are procedures identified for project performance reviews?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	The procedures for project performance reviews are not formalised	CL9	
D.5.12. Are procedures identified for corrective actions?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	The procedures for addressing the corrective actions are not formalised	CL9	

Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Draft Concl.	<sup>-</sup> inal Concl.
<i>E.</i> Calculation of GHG emission It is assessed whether all material GHG emission sources are addressed and how sensitivities and data uncertainties have been addressed to arrive at conservative estimates of projected emission reductions.					
E.1. Project GHG Emissions The validation of predicted project GHG emissions focuses on transparency and completeness of calculations.					
E.1.1. Are all aspects related to direct and indirect project emissions captured in the project design?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	No project emission is expected.		ОК
E.1.2. Have all relevant greenhouse gases and sources been evaluated?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce	DR	Refer comment under E.1.1.		

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	not foun d.				
E.1.3. Do the methodologies for calculating project emissions comply with existing good practice?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d. Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comment under E.1.1.		
E.1.4. Are the calculations documented in a complete and transparent manner?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour	DR	Refer comment under E.1.1.		

				<b>Draft</b>	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	ce not foun d. Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun				
E.1.5. Have conservative assumptions been used?	d. Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comment under E.1.1.		
E.1.6. Are uncertainties in the project emissions estimates properly addressed?	Erro r! Refe renc e	DR	Refer comment under E.1.1.		

Chacklist Quastion	Pof	Mo\/*	Commonts	Draft Concl	-inal
	sour ce not foun d.		Comments	501101.	501101.
E.2. Leakage It is assessed whether there leakage effects, i.e. change of emissions which occurs outside the project boundary and which are measurable and attributable to the project, have been properly assessed.					
E.2.1. Are leakage calculation required for the selected project category and if yes, are the relevant leakage effects assessed?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	No Leakage is assumed in the project		ОК
E.2.2. Are potential leakage effects properly accounted for in the calculations (if applicable)?	Err or! Refe renc e sour	DR	Refer comment under E.2.1.		

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	ce not foun d.				
E.2.3. Do the methodologies for calculating leakage comply with existing good practice (if applicable)?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comment under E.2.1.		
E.2.4. Are the calculations documented in a complete and transparent manner and (if applicable)?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comment under E.2.1.		
E.2.5. Have conservative assumptions been used (if applicable)?	Err or! Refe renc	DR	Refer comment under E.2.1.		

				Draft	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	e sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
E.2.6. Are uncertainties in the leakage estimates properly addressed (if applicable)?	Erro r! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comment under E.2.1.		
<b>E.3. Baseline GHG Emissions</b> The validation of predicted baseline GHG emissions focuses on transparency and completeness of calculations.					
E.3.1. Are the baseline emission boundaries clearly defined and do they sufficiently cover sources for baseline emissions?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce	DR	Yes the baseline emission sources are clearly defined.		ОК

				<b>Draft</b>	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	VoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
E.3.2. Are all aspects related to direct and indirect baseline emissions captured in the project design?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2	CL 6	
	foun				
	d.				
E.3.3. Have all relevant greenhouse gases and sources been evaluated?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2	CL6	
E.3.4. Do the methodologies for calculating baseline emissions comply with existing good practice?	Err or! Refe renc e	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2.	CL6	

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	a. Err			CL	
E.3.5. Are the calculations documented in a	Err	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2.	CLO	
complete and transparent manner?	Or: Dofo				
	ronc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
E.3.6. Have conservative assumptions been	Err	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2	CL6	
used?	or!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
E.3.7. Are uncertainties in the baseline emissions	Err	DR	Refer comments under B.2.2	CL6	
estimates properly addressed?	or!				
	Kete				

Checklist Question	Dof	Mo\/*	Commonto	<b>Draft</b>	Final Concl
	renc	VIUV	Comments	501101.	501101.
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun d.				
E.4. Emission Reductions					
Validation of baseline GHG emissions will					
focus on methodology transparency and					
completeness in emission estimations.					
E.4.1. Will the project result in fewer GHG emissions than the baseline case?	Erro r!	DR	The project replaces fossil fuel-based electricity generation.		OK
	Refe				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	foun				
	d.				
F. Environmental Impacts					
It is assessed whether environmental impacts of the project are sufficiently addressed					
	Бин				01/
F.1.1. Does nost country legislation require an analysis of the environmental impacts of	err or!	DR	As per the MOEF, an EIA is not required for projects costing less than USD 22 Millions. as is		UK

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
the project activity?	Refe		the case with the proposed project.		
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
F.1.2. Does the project comply with	Err	DR	The status of the Air and Water Consents from the	CL 4	
environmental legislation in the nost	or! Defe		APPCB has not been included.		
country !	Kele				
	renc				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
F.1.3. Will the project create any adverse	Err	DR	Since the project activity uses bagasse as the fuel.		ОК
environmental effects?	or!		no adverse environmental effect is evident.		
	Refe				
	renc				
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	NoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
F.1.4. Have environmental impacts been identified and addressed in the PDD?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	The details of the environmental effects have not been clearly outlined in the PDD.	CL 3	
G. Comments by Local Stakeholder					
Validation of the local stakeholder consultation process.					
G.1.1. Have relevant stakeholders been consulted?	Err or! Refe renc e sour ce not foun d.	DR	Stakeholders comprising of farmers, govt agencies and local villagers have been considered for consultation.		ОК
G.1.2. Have appropriate media been used to invite comments by local stakeholders?	Err or! Refe renc	DR	The comments from local stakeholders were invited through personal communication.		OK

				Draft	⁼inal
Checklist Question	Ref.	VoV*	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
G.1.3. If a stakeholder consultation process is	Err	DR	Not specifically required for such cogeneration		OK
required by regulations/laws in the host	or!		projects under the Indian Legislation.		
country, has the stakeholder consultation	Refe				
process been carried out in accordance	renc				
with such regulations/laws?	e				
	sour				
	ce				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
G.1.4. Is a summary of the comments received	Erro	DR	Yes.		OK
provided?	r!				
	Refe				
	renc				
	е				
	sour				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				
G 1.5 Has due account been taken of any	Frro	DR	No adverse comments received from local		OK
comments received?	r!		stakeholders. The comments received on hosting		

				<b>Draft</b>	Final
Checklist Question	Ref.	<b>VoV</b> *	Comments	Concl.	Concl.
	Refe		the PDD are consolidated and addressed.		
	renc				
	е				
	sour				
	се				
	not				
	foun				
	d.				

## Table 3 Resolution of Corrective Action and Clarification Requests

Draft report corrective action requests and requests for clarification	Ref. to Table 2	Summary of project participants' response	Final conclusion
Error! Reference source not found.:Host country approval has not been obtained.	A.3.1		
CAR 2 All applicable conditions under Type I. D, Version 8 of Small scale project methodology regarding the boiler capacity have not been addressed.	A.1.1		