

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR)

(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	2.25 MW Rice Husk based cogeneration plant at Siddeshwari Industries Pvt Ltd Project Activity 1004
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
X The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
X The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
X Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;	
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;	
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;	
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	09/05/2007

Reasons for request:

1. More information should be supplied as to why the importation of electricity from the grid cannot be considered a viable alternative to the project. Clear substantiation should be provided as to why the continuation or expansion of the current energy supply system has not been considered as an alternative to the project activity. The PDD should only indicate barriers which relate to the project activity and not those which are generic to any alternatives and should transparently describe that such barriers cannot be overcome without CDM.

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



CDM - Executive Board



EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

- 2. The calculation of the baseline emission factor may need to be adjusted in light of any further substantiation related to the potential alternatives.
- 3- The PDD does not describe what will happen to the existing equipment. This is necessary to determine whether leakage needs to be monitored in accordance with the approved methodology. The project participant is required to monitor biomass in accordance with the Board's "General guidance on leakage in biomass project activities"