

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken;

authority) of all Executive Board member may request that a review is undertakeny	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Vikram Cement Energy Efficiency by upgradation of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing 0859
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
☐The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;	
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements for and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP at	or CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;	
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design documents.	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ment;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make there	ne validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC m publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shainformation provided and taking into account the comments	all make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the s received, the project activity should be validated;
	nation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the nd the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.	
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by t	he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	23/03/2007

Reasons:

This project activity uses AMS II D which is a generic methodology for energy efficiency. Vikram Cement was the project participant that submitted large scale methodologies NM0101 and NM0154 for energy efficiency in clinker cooler, both of which were given a C by the Meth Panel. It should be assessed whether AMS II D is correctly applied to this project.

As this is not the first time this issue comes up, the Board may wish to ask the SSWG to review whether the concerns expressed by the Meth Panel with respect to the above not approved large scale methodologies need to be addressed by AMS II D.