

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	Hapugastenne and Hulu Ganga Small Hydropower Projects; Project Activity 0085
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	DNV 26-07-07
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The verification report states, "The grid emission factor of the CEB has been validated and is fixed at 0.8464 t CO<sub>2</sub>/MWh for the entire crediting period. This has been estimated as the combined margin of the CEB grid with the operating margin of 0.9719 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh and a build margin of 0.8273 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh."(Verification report, p.9). However, the registered PDD refers to a grid emission factor of 0.8496 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh, which was derived from an OM and BM of 0.8719 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh and 0.8273 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh, respectively. Corrections are required.</li> <li>2. Section 2.0 of the verification report mentions that the on-site verification was conducted on 27-28 April 2007, while section 2.2 states 27-28 May 2007.</li> </ol>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	22/08/2007