

CDM project activity issuance review form

	this form, a Party involved (through the designated national Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	Hapugastenne and Hulu Ganga Small Hydropower Projects (0085)
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	DNV-CUK
Please indicate, in accordance with you request review. (Place a cross	paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) (X) in front of the reason)
Fraud Malfeasance	e <u>X</u> Incompetence
Please indicate reasons for the requirem. (if space is not sufficient plea	uest for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request se attach further reasons)
Hapugastenna Phase II for the months capacity of this plant is 2.4MW (Table Verification/Cerification Report). Even in May and August, each month (2526 day x 24 hour/day). But the reported o and 2,907,890 kWh for August. These	oring report exceed technical limit of the power plants. For example, outputs of s of May, June and August in the year 2004. According to the PDD the rated 1, Page 5, PDD). The verification team found it a bit higher, 2.526MW (Page 4, considering the higher value, the plant cannot produce more than 1,879,344 kWh kW x 31 day x 24 hour/day) and more than 1,818,720 kWh in June (2526kW x 30 utputs in the monitoring report are 1,947,540 kWh for May, 1,906,630 kWh for June numbers are higher than technical limit of the plant. Moreover, power plants in rated capacity because of scheduled and unscheduled maintenance.
	arly leads to the conclusion that the DOE failed to meet the verification ocrosscheck the numbers presented in the monitoring report.
not obtain calibration and test reports of Verification/Certification report). Howe	ng plan, electricity meters should be tested and calibrated annually. The DOE could during the site visits. The reports were submitted to DOE later (Page 4, ver, the DOE has not included its assessment on those calibration and test reports. calibration reports? Please include DOE's assessments on it in the verification
in the report is electricity sales to the C Digging upon the calculation of GHG r	ents electricity output from each plant, it is not clear whether the output presented Ceylon Electricity Board (CEB) or electricity generated from the power plant. mitigation it appears that the reported numbers are "sales", but it is designated as an sales. The power plants must have some auxiliary consumption (or own use); t known. Please clarify.
Section below to be filled in by UNF	CCC secretariat
Date received at LINECCC secretariat	11/08/2006