

 CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested	0001 Project for GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 in Gujarat, India
DOE that requested for issuance and date of request	04/09/07 DNV
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)	
<p>1. The ratio of HFC23 generated to HCFC22 produced, w, was calculated based on cumulative HCFC22 and HFC23 production, which results in 2.76%, less than the allowed maximum of 2.90% in the PDD. However, if calculated for this monitoring period only, the w is 3%, higher than the maximum allowable value. Additionally, the CERs generated during the first six months of the second monitoring year (Feb - July 2007) amounts to 3,257,641 tCO₂, while the registered PDD estimates an annual reduction of 3,000,000 tCO₂. Further explanation is required.</p> <p>2. The verification report indicates that a carbon emission factor of natural gas used by the thermal oxidizer is 2.95×10^{-3} tonnes CO₂/m³, while the unit of the factor in the spreadsheet was in tonnes CO₂/kg. Clarification is required.</p>	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	13/09/2007