

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	Project for GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 in Gujarat, India; project activity 0001
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	DNV 25/05/07
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Fraud</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Malfeasance</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<p><b>1. The Monitoring Report does not include readings of the monitored parameters</b></p> <p>The "TENTH MONITORING REPORT" dated 17/05/2007 (called further MRv1) and the Confidential monitoring workbook.xls file (called further XLS file) do not include readings of the monitored parameters and the daily totals.</p> <p><b>2. The monitoring as applied is not according to the approved methodology</b></p> <p>The methodology applied AM001v02 p.9 requires monitoring of the following parameters that cannot be found in the MRv1+XLS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ID7 - Q_HCFcy - "The quantity of HCFC 22 produced in the plant generating the HFC 23 waste". This parameter was replaced in the XLS file by "Cumulative HCFC22 Production during the year - based on actual plant figures".</li> <li>• ID8 - HFC23_sold - "HFC 23 sold by the facility generating the HFC 23 waste" This parameter was not monitored.</li> </ul> <p>However, this parameter was checked by the DOE, as described in Verification Report APRIL 01 – MAY 05 2007 REVISION NO. 01 dated 2007-05-24 (called farther VR1) Section 3.1.4 item 9.</p> <p><b>3. The Monitoring Report Appendix-1 is missing</b></p> <p>MRv1 Section 2.1.3: "The data being collected in order to monitor the GHG reduction is given in the table in Appendix-1 to this Monitoring Report."  "Appendix-1" is mentioned in the MRv1 more seven times.  However I cannot find this "Appendix-1".</p> <p><b>4. The amount of CERs requested is much higher than the estimation</b></p> <p>The amount of CERs requested is 69% higher than the approved estimation of the emissions reduction.</p> <p>4.1 The DOE should verify the HFC22 production each month of the verification period and should explain reasons for the 69% increase of CERs requested.</p> <p>4.2 The DOE should verify that daily HFC22 production does not exceed the maximum daily production capacity (60,000 kg/d).</p> <p>4.3 The DOE should verify that the HFC23 destructed quantity does not exceed the maximum daily HFC22 production capacity multiplied by the waste generation rate (w=2.63%).</p>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	12/06/2007