

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form		
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	5 24.75 MW Ranganatha vject, Karnataka, India	swamy Mini Hydel
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.		
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	CDM modalities and procedure	es:
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;		
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;		
☐ Project participants have submitted to the DOE document activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacty, have undertaken an environmental impact assessm	are considered significant by th	e project participants or the host
☑ The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;		
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wit the Executive Board;	quirements pertaining to method	dologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	ccordance with decision 17/CP.	7, the CDM modalities and
☐ The project activity conforms to all other requirements fand procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP a		17/CP.7, the CDM modalities
$\ \square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 c	CDM modalities and procedure	es:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;		
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;		
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;		
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;		
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;		
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.		
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.		
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat		
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	06/02/2008	

- 1. Further justification is required on how the DOE has validated that the WACC is an appropriate benchmark for the project activity according to sub-step 2b (paragraph 4.c) of the additionality tool.
- 2. The investment and sensitivity analyses should be presented in a transparent manner to allow reproducing the analyses and obtaining the same results as provided for in paragraph 6 of the additionality tool.