

## CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Project for HFC23 Decomposition at Changshu 3F Zhonghao New Chemical Materials Co. Ltd, Changshu, Jiangsu Province, China (0306)
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 a validation requirement(s) may require review. A list reasons in support of the request for review, includi	
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 o	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
$\hfill\square$ The participation requirements as set out in paragraph	s 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;
	summary of the comments received has been provided, and a due account was taken of any comments has been received;
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp	nentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project pacts are considered significant by the project participants or the sessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host
	in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that ne proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
☐ The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with the Executive Board;	ith requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	e in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
☑ The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP.	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
$\square$ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 $\alpha$	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
	n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project n the designated national authority of each Party involved, including ists it in achieving sustainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design documents.	ined in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ument;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on t UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and	the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and I make them publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shinformation provided and taking into account the commen	hall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the ts received, the project activity should be validated;
	nination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it dete registration in the form of a validation report including the an explanation of how it has taken due account of comme	ermines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and ents received.
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by	the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at LINECCC secretariat	06/06/06

## **Reasons for Request:**

- 1. The project activity states that the starting date of the first crediting period is 1 May 2006; registration would happen on June 7, at the earliest. The crediting period must start after the registration of the project.
- 2. The PDD mentions that the total annual production of the existing facilities was 14,375.963 tons in 2002, 19,974.024 tons in 2003 and 30,979 tons in 2004 (which is the value used). But there is no document basing these numbers or reference as to how they were reached, nor was it mentioned in the validation report how this information was verified. Also, answering a comment received about the doubling in the

## UNFCCC/CCNUCC



**CDM – Executive Board** 

UNFCCC

EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

production from 2002 to 2004, the validator stated that the project participants' explanation was that "in 2002 the operation of the production was not stable and occasionally the facility made to shutdown due to troubles just after newly opening the operation." And that "the operation had been stable in 2004 and the production was near the production capacity of the facility" (which is also mentioned in the site-visit report). However, it does not give any evidence that it checked the validity of this information.