

 <b>CDM project activity registration review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	Nubarashen Landfill Gas Capture and Power Generation Project in Yerevan
<p>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.</p>	
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Project participants have submitted to the DOE documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, have undertaken an environmental impact assessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The project activity is expected to result in a reduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> XXX The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the Executive Board;</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> XXX Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> XXX The project activity conforms to all other requirements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive Board.</li> </ul> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly available the project design document;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and make them publicly available;</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> XXX After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information provided and taking into account the comments received, the project activity should be validated;</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> XXX The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.</li> </ul>	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	

## Reasons:

1. There is no validation report submitted. There is a submission of a “preliminary validation report” that is not final and therefore not in accordance with decision 17/CP.7

2. The project being a large scale project is using a methodology for small scale projects (AMS-I.D ) instead of ACM 0002 (although in page 12 of the PDD is implicitly said that this is authorized on ACM 0002 this is not the case (... “If capacity of electricity generated is less than 15 MW, and /or thermal energy displaced is less than 54 TJ (15GWh), small-scale methodologies can be used”...)

3. In page 9 of the PDD is said:

*“Though there are no accurate data concerning the quality and quantity of the waste..... generated LFG at Nubarashen landfill is derived from biomass because there is no data to contradict it. Therefore, emissions in this phase are zero.”*

This is not a conservative approach and this assumption should be modified or better substantiated.

4. There is not a proper description on how the methodology was applied. In particular, the sentence on page 12 of the PDD in B.2 “ Incidentally, the stated explanation of the consolidated methodology shall not be repeated here” is not acceptable.