


| | |
|---|---|
|  CDM project activity issuance review form <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i> | |
| Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print) | |
| Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested | HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan; Project Activity 0003 |
| DOE that requested for issuance and date of request | DNV 30-08-07 |
| Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason) | |
| ___ <i>Fraud</i> ___ <i>Malfeasance</i> ___x___ <i>Incompetence</i> | |
| Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons) | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. According to the monitoring plan, "all of the measurement instruments except HFC 23 flow meters are to be recalibrated monthly". The verification report states that the calibration records of meters measuring fuel gas, steam, HCFC 22 and electricity used during destruction process were lost and not provided during the site visit and the verification team requested the participants to conduct an immediate calibration. The DOE raised this issue as CAR 1, but did not close it in the report. Clarification is required how the calibration done by the project participant has met the requirement in the PDD that, "All of the measurement instruments are to be recalibrated monthly per internationally accepted procedures". 2. In addition, the DOE shall further clarify how they assess the risk implications of calibration records not being maintained appropriately, how they ensured that the established monitoring procedures are in compliance with the pertinent methodology and which measures have been adopted to systematically address the problem of the loss of calibration records. Finally the DOE shall fully clarify why they understand that calibration made in July 2007, are appropriate for a monitoring report covering the period 1 April 2007 to 30 June 2007. 3. The DOE states that in their opinion, "the project's reported GHG emission reductions for the period from 1 April 2007 to 30 June 2007 as reported in the CDM Monitoring Report for the project (Volume 9, Version 1.01, 11 April 2007 and Version 1.02, 21 August 2007), are fairly stated". They should clarify what is their understanding of the reference to fairly stated. 4. As reported in the Verification Report, in page 6 of 25, "The emission reductions reported from the "HFC Decomposition Project" in Ulsan for the period 1 April 2007 to 30 June 2007, equating to 705,570 tonnes of CO2 equivalent, are larger amount than the average monthly amount stated in the PDD". Thus, the project has exceeded annual emission reductions by 68.5% over the average annual emission reductions stated in the PDD (2,358,616 against 1,400,000). This is on account of higher production of HCFC during the year. In the three month period April – June 2007 under the current monitoring sequence, the production figure reported in the Monitoring Report is 2113.5 tons as against 1875 tons based on annual installed capacity of 7500 tons as reported in the registered PDD. While this might be in accordance with the provisions in the applied approved methodology AM0001Ver02 regarding upper limits for CERs, the DOE shall fully clarify if it has verified the reasons for this increase, including inter alia, how was production of HCFC increased, whether there were increases in installed capacity, if this responds to production plans and if these production plans are in line with the PDD estimations, which and how big are the financial impacts of anticipating production of HCFC during the year and how the DOE envisages that the excess production is going to be ultimately compensated in the next monitoring periods. | |
| Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat | |
| Date received at UNFCCC secretariat | 17/09/2007 |