

 <b>CDM project activity issuance review form</b> <i>(By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)</i>	
<b>Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form (Name in print)</b>	
<b>Title of the proposed CDM project activity for which issuance is requested</b>	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan (0003)
<b>DOE that requested for issuance and date of request</b>	DNV-CUK
<b>Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, for which reason(s) you request review. (Place a cross (X) in front of the reason)</b>	
___ <i>Fraud</i> ___ <i>Malfeasance</i> ___X___ <i>Incompetence</i>	
<b>Please indicate reasons for the request for review and attach any supporting documentation to this request form. (if space is not sufficient please attach further reasons)</b>	
<p>The Revised Monitoring Report does not include results of the monitoring of the following parameter, required by the methodology and by the PDD Monitoring Plan:</p> <p>AM001v02 p.9 ID7: "The quantity of HCFC 22 produced in the plant generating the HFC 23 waste".</p> <p>As the substitution for this parameter, the DOE verifies in Revised Monitoring Report p.11:</p> <p><i>"The coefficient w in monitored period was less than 2.90% (cut off ratio defined in PDD)."</i></p> <p>The DOE does not explain what is exact value of "w" and how it was calculated (It is indicated that the HCFC22 production rate is confidential data which is not consistent with the CDM MP)</p> <p>The DOE does not address the requirement of the methodology that the HCFC22 production rate used for the CERs calculation shall be capped by the historical HCFC production rate (2000/2002)</p>	
<b>Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat</b>	
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat	12/09/2006