



JAPAN CONSULTING INSTITUTE

Sumitomo Fudosan Kudanshita Bldg 3F,
No. 5, Kanda-Jinbocho 3-chome,
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0051, JAPAN

Telephone : +81-3-3222-8100
Facsimile : +81-3-3222-8101/2

Date : 26 June 2006
Ref. No. : JCI-CDM-C06-048

CDM Executive Board

Mr. Daniele Violetti
CDM-Registration and Issuance Unit

Subject : Request for review for registration number 0323

Dear Sirs,

Please find the attached document which shows our comments to the request for review to the CDM project with the registration number 0323.

In case you have any further question or request, please let us know by phone call or Email.

Yours sincerely,

Hideyuki Sato

Manager of Assessment Group
JCI CDM Center
Tel: +81 3 3222 8100
Fax: +81 3 3222 8101/2
Email: sato-cdm@jci-plant.or.jp



Project title: Krubong Melaka LFG Collection & Energy Recovery CDM Project, reference No.0323
Project participants: Southern Waste Management Snd. Bhd. (Malaysia), and Kajima Corporation (Japan)
DOE: Japan Consulting Institute, JCI

JCI's response on the request for review

1. Request 1 for review

The project activity used 2 methodologies, AM0003 and ACM0001, and this combined use has not been appropriately dealt with by the DOE.

2. Request 2 for review

The project activity used 2 methodologies, AM0003 and ACM0001, and this combined use has not been appropriately dealt with by the DOE. AM0003 has been used for estimating the baseline emissions from the landfill and for additionality purposes. Whereas ACM0001 has been used only for estimating the emissions reductions based on the power generation and supply to grid, from the landfill operation.

3. Request 3 for review

The project activity used 2 methodologies, AM0003 and ACM0001, and this combined use has not been appropriately dealt with by the DOE.

Response by JCI to Request 1, 2 and 3

JCI faithfully explains its position in the issues raised by the CDM - Registration and Issuance Unit on the headed CDM project.

1. JCI thinks that the application of two methodologies has been appropriately dealt with by us as follows;

At the beginning stage of the validation activity, JCI has once judged that the application of two methodologies, AM0003 and draft ACM0001, described in the original PDD (submitted on July 2004) of the proposed project activity is not appropriate, and also requested corrective action not to use a draft methodology. However, at the advance stage of the validation activity, the draft ACM0001 was approved on September 2004 as a consolidated methodology including AM0003 that remained still valid as itself. In addition, due to the reasons explained below JCI accepted the response regarding the combined application of two methodologies provided by the project participants and requested CDM-EB to register the project.

1) Amount of methane captured

The amount of methane captured is calculated by basically the same logic in AM0003 and ACM0001. The emission reduction from the LFG capture calculated with ACM0001 is therefore the same value as that with AM0003 for the proposed project activity.

2) During the validation activity, JCI confirmed comprehensive data for assessment of the additionality of the proposed project activity as required by AM0003. JCI has judged that it may meet the requirements of "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality (Version 02)" of ACM0001 though only part



of them were included in the present PDD as required by AM0003.

2. JCI, however, judged ACM0001 shall solely be applied to the proposed project activity to improve its transparency as a CDM project at the present. Project participants already agreed with JCI on this point and would revise to relevant parts of PDD to meet the requirement of ACM0001. Revised PDD and the validation report by JCI will be sent to CDM secretariats by July 5th 2006.