

DET NORSKE VERITAS Certification AS Climate Change Services Veritasveien 1 NO-1322 Høvik Norway Tel: +47-6757 9900 Fax: +47-6757 9911 http://www.dnv.com NO 945 748 931 MVA

UNFCCC Secretariat Martin-Luther-King-Strasse 8 D-53153 Bonn Germany

Att: CDM Executive Board

Your ref.: CDM Ref 1890

Our ref.: MLEH/KCHA Date: 20 October 2008

Response to request for review "Biomass based Hot Air Generation at Fertilizer Unit of Tata Chemicals Ltd., Haldia, West Bengal" (1890)

Dear Members of the CDM Executive Board,

We refer to the requests for review raised by three Board members concerning DNV's request for registration of the "Biomass based Hot Air Generation at Fertilizer Unit of Tata Chemicals Ltd., Haldia, West Bengal" (1890)" project and would like to provide the following initial response to the issues raised by the requests for review.

Comment 1: The DOE is requested to further clarify the unit cost of energy, in particular, cost of coal and biomass representing the actual price at the time of investment decision.

DNV Response:

DNV would like to state that the detailed calculation for the unit cost of energy (as provided in Appendix-I attachment to this response) along with the evidences for the input parameters have been evidenced by DNV during the validation process.

The project was approved for installation in January 2006. The project proponent was prior to the installation of the project activity operating a furnace oil fired hot air generator (HAG), and was envisaging revamping the HAG to fire solid fossil fuels due to economic reasons. Thus the project proponent first thought of installing a coal-fired HAG and accordingly proposals were sought from suppliers of coal (attached as annex-1 in this response). The price of coal as used in the unit cost calculation is the average price of coal as sourced from the proposals of S. T Corporation (dated 5 June 2004) and Modern Services (dated 28 October 2004). The net calorific value of coal used in the calculation is the average of the useful heating values as sourced from the test reports of R.V. Briggs & Company Private Limited, (government recognized laboratory) on the coal samples of S. T. Corporation. The proposal obtained for the coal and the test reports are attached as annex-1 in this response.

Similarly, when the project proponent thought of using rice husk instead of coal, proposals were invited from suppliers of biomass suppliers as well. The average price of biomass that has been used in the unit cost calculation has been validated from the proposals received from I.K Enterprises (dated 8 August 2005) and Shyamal Kumar Das (dated 9 August 2005). The husk price provided by another supplier M/s M. N. Sultana Enterprise dated 5 July 2005 was not considered since the price provided was slightly higher than that provided by the other two suppliers and was not representative. The proposals received are attached as annex-1 of this response.

Hence DNV would like to reiterate that the price of coal and biomass and the corresponding useful heating value used in the calculations represents the actual values available at the time of investment decision.

Comment 2: The DOE is requested to provide further clarification on how it has been validated that coal-fired-HAG is the baseline scenario and not the furnace oil, used previously.

DNV Response:

As stated in the validation report, in the pre-project scenario, the project proponent was using furnace oil for the generation of hot air. However due to the increasing cost of FO, the project proponent decided to switch from furnace oil to coal which was identified as a cheaper alternative. DNV has validated coal-fired HAG as the most likely baseline scenario as good quality of coal is abundantly available in the region at a cheaper rate than furnace oil. It has also been validated by DNV that coal was the most economically attractive option compared to biomass and in the absence of the project, coal would have been used in the plant. The applied methodology clearly states that the baseline scenario is the scenario which would have been there in the absence of the project activity. Since the project proponent was already considering the switching to coal from furnace oil due to economical reasons and would have invested in retrofitting the HAG to firing solid fuels in the absence of the proposed CDM project activity, therefore the selection of coal-based HAG as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is justified. The selection of coal as the baseline scenario is further substantiated by the unit energy cost of hot air generation using coal, biomass and furnace oil at 736.42 INR/Gcal, 1 535.09 INR/Gcal and 2 136 INR/Gcal respectively.

DNV has verified all the supporting documents to arrive at the above conclusion. The detailed unit cost calculation has been provided as Appendix-I to this response.

Comment 3: The DOE is requested to further explain not meeting the methodology requirements, in particular, (a) baseline emissions not calculated as required; and (b) the validation of the technology's efficiency for the baseline based on only one supplier's technical information.

DNV Response:

DNV would like to reiterate and clarify that the baseline emissions have been calculated as per the methodology only. As per the methodology, the emission reductions are to be calculated as:

$$BE_y = HG_y * EF CO_2 / \eta_{th}$$

For *ex-ante* estimations, the equation mentioned in the PDD is:

$$BE_{y} = Q_{B} \times \frac{NCB_{B}}{NCV_{C}} \times \frac{\eta_{HA}}{\eta_{C}} \times EEF_{C}$$

Where; Q_B: Amount of biomass combusted $NCV_b = Net Calorific value of biomass (Kcal/kg)$ $NCV_c = Net Calorific value of coal (Kcal/kg)$ $\eta_{HA} = Efficiency of the Hot Air Generator used in project scenario (%)$ $\eta_c = Efficiency of the coal based Hot Air Generator used in baseline scenario (%)$ $EEF_c = Effective Emission factor of coal (tCO₂/tonne of Coal)$

This is the same equation as mentioned in the methodology in a different form since,

$$Q_{B} \times NCV_{B} \times \eta_{HA} = HG_{y}$$
$$\frac{EEF_{C}}{NCV_{C}} = EF CO_{2}$$

 $\eta_{th} = \eta_C$

Thus the equation as mentioned in the PDD is the same equation as mentioned in the methodology.

Further since fossil fuel is fired in the project activity, as per the provisions of paragraph 18 to 22, the emission reductions have been calculated considering the amount of coal fired in the project scenario and the specific fuel consumption for biomass and coal. Please refer to the detailed calculation spreadsheet attached as Appendix-II to this response.

For the *ex-post* calculation of emission reductions, the heat generated by the HAG will be calculated as:

 $HG_{y} = F \times D \times Sp \times OP_{hours} \times \Delta T$

Where,

F: Flow rate of hot air (Nm³/hr)

D: Density of hot air (g/cc)

Sp: Specific heat of air $(Kcal/kg-{}^{0}C)$

OP_{hours}: Operating hours of the HAG (hours)

 ΔT : Temperature gain of hot air in the HAG (^{0}C)

The heat generated from the use of coal as fuel will be deducted from the measured heat generation. The minimum of this calculated heat generation and the heat generation from using the specific fuel consumption of biomass and fuel consumption of biomass, will be used to calculate the emission reductions.

The validation of the technology's efficiency has been based on the efficiency value provided by two suppliers only as required by the methodology. The efficiency value has been validated from the documents of Energy Products and Services and Radhe Engineering. Both the technology providers guarantee an efficiency value of 95%. Hence this value has been used in the emission reduction calculations. The efficiency value provided by Energy Products and Services and the *expost* calculation of the heat generation have now been referenced in the revised validation report.

Comment 4: The monitoring plan should include parameters that are required as per the monitoring methodology (e.g. consumption of coal calculated ex-ante; and thermal energy generated)

DNV Response:

As mentioned in the response to comment 3 above, the thermal energy generated *ex-post* will be calculated from several parameters. All these parameters are already included in the monitoring plan. However, *ex-ante* calculated value of coal consumption, specific thermal energy generation

from coal and biomass in the PDD have now been included by the project proponent in the revised PDD.

We sincerely hope that the Board accepts our aforementioned explanations.

Yours faithfully for DET NORSKE VERITAS CERTIFICATION AS

Michael Cehman

Michael Lehmann *Technical Director* International Climate Change Services

Charapasing .

C Kumaraswamy *Manager* Climate Change Services

Annex-I

<i>cf. Nò</i> To Th						
cf. Nó To Th						05-06-2004
To Th					$Data \dots$	
T.A Di	e Purchas TA Chemi rgachak, H	e Manage cals Ltd., <u>laldia</u> ,	r.			
		K	ind Attn.: Mr, Ra	ijib Neogi,		
D	on Siz	8*				
As	per our port of 3-n	verbal di os. coal s	scussion, I am s ample marked as	ending he SB, K, MM	erewith the	e analysis
W	We, also sending the rate of above marked coal respectively.					
		Particula	irs	SB	K	MIM .
Fi	ked carbor	1		42.80 %	40.82 %	35.80 %
-V.	latile Matt	er		21.87 %	20.70 %	21.20 %
A	ah			34.26 %	37.72%	42.12 %
ī.vī	oisture	1		1.07 %	0.76 %	0.88 %
U	eful Heat	Value (Kile	o Cal/Kg.)	4024	3590	2966
G	oss Calori u/Kg.	fic Value	(By BOMB Kilo	5220	4945	4482
		- F	Basic Rate	Freigh	1t	Total
1)	SB -		Rs. 2100/M1	Rs. 550	D/MT R	s.2650/MT
2)	К-		Rs. 2100/MT	Rs. 550	0/MT R	s. 2650/MT
-21	MIM -		Rs. 1950/MT	Rs. 55	D/MT R	e 2500/MIT

(SUMAN SARKAR)

POM : MGDERN SERVICES (CUTTA	PHONE NO. : 91 33 3596571 Oct. 29 2004 12:149M	P61
M	ODE	ERN SERVICES	
and the second	HANDLING A	GENTS & TRANSPORTER OF COAL & COKE	rana r
135A, Bi	plabl Rash Blh	arl Basu Road, 3rd Floor, West Gate, Kolketa - 700 001	
Tata Chemicals I. PO. Durgachak, I East Midnapur, West Bengal : 72	Phone: Ad. Taldia, 602	2242-9744/2164, Fax: 91-33-2359-6571 Dutc: 28.10.2004	
9	Kin	d Atta : Mr. H.U.Dashi (Seniar Mfo Mar)	
		e razan na na na na na na na na mana na ma	
Dear Sir.	-		
We thank you for the 16.10.2004. As desired we are furr	kind courtesy nishing our pro-	extended to the undersigned on his visit to your office on oposel for supply of sized coal (0 - 6 mm) for your plant Haldie.	
Specification 2. Size 3. Quantity Offered 4.Price 5. Weighment 6. Quality Control		 3050 4500 GCV Avg. Range (All Seventinitative et 0 c) 0mm - 6 um (tolerance of 10% oversize & undersize) 300 M.T. Rs.2070/- per M.T. free deliverd Haldia Plant exclusionting . Weighment of the trucks at your plant on a valid extilited weightridge will be treated as the final weight <i>k</i> will ferring the basis of billing Payment. Cost of the weighment will be borne by you. In this respect we would like to emphasis, being mineral commodity our best affort is to deliver you as the commodity our best affort is to deliver you as the commodity our best affort is to deliver you as the commodity our best affort is to deliver you as the commodity our best affort is to deliver you 	
7.Delivery Schedulo 8.Payment		 immediately for doing the needful action (if possible prior to unloading of the loaded material) 10 days from the date of receipt of written order. Full payment within 7 days from the date of delivery of materials. 	
9, Revision of Prices		 Rates are subject to increase /decrease with change in prices of coal, diesel or statutory levies incl. Octroi etc. as per important for statutory levies incl. 	
10. Vnlidity		: 15 days from the date of issue.	
The above offer is fur market scenario. Assuring you of our b	nished as per j est profession	present market condition which is subject to change with change in al services at all times.	
Yours findy			

Por Modern Services P. Le Sjons (P. Kajaria)

Telegrams 1 Telegrams 1 Teleg	
- Certificate of Examination	
SAMPLE NOT DRAWN BY US	
We hardly outfine that a second as "COAL?"	
SUBMITTED to us on the by Mp. S.T. COM/ENGLAL	
- NOKELM, has been analysed with the following results :-	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>±2°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u>	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent)	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>+2°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent)	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) 42.80 %	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) Carbon 	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) Carbon 	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) Carbon 42,50 % Volalile matter 24,67 %	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) Carbon 	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>+2°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) C d Carbon Volatile matter Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>+2°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) C d Carbon Volatile matter Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>+2°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) C d Carbon Volatile matter Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>+2°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) C d Carbon Volatile matter Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>+2°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) C d Carbon <u>C d Carbon</u> <u>C d d Carbon</u> <u>C d d Carbon</u> <u>C d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d</u>	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) C d Carbon Volatile matter Volatile matter Useful Heat Value (Kilo Cal/Kg.) Gross Calorific Value (BY BOMB) Kilo Cal/Kg 5220	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C 42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours (inherent)	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>12°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) (inher	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>42°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) (inheren	
Analysis on 72 mesh sample equilibrated at 40°C <u>12°C and 60% Relative Humidity for 72 hours</u> (inherent) (inher	

1007	9		May 20, 2004
	N-V-BRIGG	3 & CO, privat	re (1925)
	ANALYTICA 9. BENTINCK S POST B	AL CHEMISIS IREET, KOLKATA-I ox no. 279	
Tolegrams I NALYTICAL KOLKA Fax : 33-2248-044	GOVERNMEN	T RECOGNISED)	Tatophones (2248-366) 2248-2069 a-mail : Abbliques & Elly.com
We hereby	SAMPLE NO SAMPLE NO SUBMITTED to us on the BATTON Hald Ia	COAL ⁴ COAL ⁴ COA	in a Packet,
	Analysis on 72 mesh	sample equilibrated at 40°C	1. 2 4 4 4 4 1 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
	4.2 C and 50% rea	inherent)	
			1923
and Carbon			40.82 %
Ash			31.12 %
Moisture			0.76 %
Useful Heat Val	ue (Kilo Cal/Kg.)	3590	100.00
Gross Gal	orific Value (BY BOMB) Kilo Cal/Kg.	4945	
		0.7% 1	
REMARKS :	The above described sample consists of 0 Notification of Ministry of Energy (Dep	Trade	ebruary 1981.
			1
MARKED:	¹ K ^r Date of Sub: 14/05/04.	5. ²⁰ 1.0 ¹¹	(K.P. DE) TECHNICAL DIRECTOR
	The second s		10
SEALED :	NIL	5	
AK:			

5280		May 20, 2064
R.V.BRIGG	b & Clonivate	E R. AND
POST E	30X NO. 279	
Tolegrams I ALYTICAL KOLKATA" Tax : 33-2248-0447	IT RECOGNISED)	Yelephones (2248-306) 2248-2309 c-mall : rybriggs @ stly.com
Certificate of	Examination	23
SAMPLE N	TOT DRAWN BY US	
We hereby certify that a sample of	*COAL* contained in 14/05/04 b. Mr. S.T.	a Packet, COMMERCIAL
CORPORATION, Haldia	We has been analysed with the following r	esults :
Analysis on 72 mes	sh sample equilibrated at 40°C	
+2°C and 60% Rd	(inherent)	
		35.80 %
F1_Carbon		21.20 %
latte matter	** -	42.12 %
Ash		
The Califie 1	- 2966	100.00
Gross Calorific Value (BY BOMB)	4402	And the second sec
Kilo Gal/kg.	e ∌ s+nt O ≤.	
	a <u>1</u> ; a	non cohing Coul as a
REMARKS : The above described sample consists of Notification of Ministry of Buergy (D	f Grade Department of Coal) New Delhi, 13th Fel	pruary 981.
MARKED: M.M. Date of Sub: 14/5/04.		("K.P. DE) TECHNICAL DIRECT
SHALED: NIL /	1. I San Sec	Max Stranger
AKA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Mob.: 9332936487 IKE I. K. ENTERPRISES 9733630198, 943464169 BRANCH OFFICE : Baishnabchak Bazar. Chiranjibpur, Haldia ENGINEERING H.O. : Quarter No. EF/F2, Industrial Housing Estate, Haldia, Purba Medinipur-721602, W.B. Date 08:08.2005 Ref. No. Jo The Asst. purchase Monager TATA chemicals led Surga chax. Haldig Sub: - Quealation for supply of Rice Heisk Ben. sin, with your legernee to your enquirey eve · questation our current lowest Prises subject to terms mentioned below. Lescription unit bate Mount SL.NO lice HUSX hate Per M-T 3500/P.M.T 1, Met culesfri value 2400 Kcali xg Thousing your your falt feelly

Mob. -9434161169 Ph. - (03224) 273593

SHYAMAL KUMAR DAS

General Order Supplier

Basudevpur, Khanjanchak, Durgachak, Haldia, Purba Medinipur - 721602, W.B.

Ref NO --

Date - 9-8-2005

TO The Asst. Purchese Manager Tata Chemicals Utd. Surgachank, Haldia

Sub: - Queation for Supply of Rice Husk Dear Sir,

Purchase enquiry for Supphy of Rice Husk for use as fuel for industrial Purposes.

I want to Supply These material as per your Dequisement.

The following Instruction below: -

Description unit Rate Amount SL.NO 1. Rice Husk Rate Per M. Ton 8500/Per M/Ton

Thanking you

your faith fully

M. N. Sultana Enterprise MA PH.NO- 03224 282 SUTAHATA / HALDIA MOB.NO -9933 00 3242 Fax: 03224 282183 E-mail: sultana_en@rediffmail.com To the Asst purches Menager dr- 5.7.05 Taka chemicals KDD Dongachuk, Haldra, sub: - supply of Rice bush D/sin. as the your Reberence your conquiry we are auch your Rate Below Rice Herk. Rati Per M.TB=3550 New - 2400 wealing yourstaitti huly Thinking you.