UNFCCC	
~	

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form		
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	1726 - Ma Steel (new plant) CDQ and waste heat utilization project	
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.		
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of t	he CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 2	8 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;		
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those impact	tation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project ts are considered significant by the project participants or the host t in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;	
	nthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that are osed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52 of the	
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply with r Executive Board;	requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by the	
Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are in procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and	
The project activity conforms to all other requirements for procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP and the	CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and Executive Board.	
\Box The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of t	the CDM modalities and procedures:	
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation report to the Executive Board, have received from the project participants written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development;		
In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contained shall make publicly available the project design document;	d in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the DOE	
The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on the accredited non-governmental organizations and make them p	validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC publicly available;	
After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shall provided and taking into account the comments received, the	make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the information project activity should be validated;	
	ation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project e of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;	
	ines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of	
☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the	DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.	
Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat		
Date received at LINECCC secretariat	18 07 2008	

1. The DOE shall describe how the reliability of the input values used in the investment analysis has been validated in accordance with the requirements of EB38 paragraph 54(c).

2. The PP/DOE should justify why the sensitivity analysis has not been carried out with electricity tariff, which could be a significant input in determining the project IRR.

3. Taking into consideration that the operating capacity of a CDQ is directly linked to the operating capacity of the coke ovens and that - depending on the coke demand - the coke ovens' capacity can be increased or decreased by changing the heating temperature in the coke ovens' heating chambers, the



page 2

PP/DOE should provide more information regarding the maximum and the minimum design production capacities of the coke ovens and of the connected CDQ. Subsequently the PP/DOE should apply this range to the sensitivity analysis in determining the project IRR.

4. Further clarification is required on how the DOE has validated the baseline determination, in particular that the continuation of grid electricity imports is more economically attractive alternative than the project activity undertaken without CDM.